

Witold Bielecki (1947-2008)

## *

## SUMMARY

This book about the strongest round robin correspondence chess event ever (until 2017) is addressed to all chess fans. My idea was to show top players in real and exciting fight to cc audience. I believe that it was accomplished successfully. Although I was worrying that all games might be finished with a draw without a struggle. Players confirmed my hopes by their kind comments, but primarily by their solid and strong play. In the individual chapters, you can find specific information, like start list and several interesting statistics, short biographical notes of Witold Bielecki and all participants, results and few annotated games. Enjoy it!
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## Introduction

This book is addressed to all chess fans and came about from persistent urges of my friends. They did not want me to keep the secrets all to very limited audience, but preserve that for posterity.

Let me start from short story.
I knew Witold personally and I supported him in his hard work when I was working close to his home city Wrocław. I was very surprised with his unexpected death in the prime of his life.

The idea to honour our friend Witold came to my mind just after my return to correspondence chess after almost 10-year break.

Every player had an occasion to compete in suitable level and tournament, starting from a strong tournament for top players, through world cup event up to team event and friendly match formula. So broad selection was possible thanks to amicable approach of ICCF officials and Europa Zone officials and all national delegates.

List of events dedicated to Witold organized by the Polish Correspondence Chess federation is presented below.

1. Witold Bielecki Memorial, invitational tournament, start date: 2012-03-01
o Top players, cat.16, 13 players; finished on 2015-04-06
o Bravo section, cat.12, 13 players; finished on 2014-11-08
2. ICCF Diamond Jubilee World Cup 18 in memory of Witold Bielecki, 3 stages, start date: 2011-10-30, 844 entries from 53 countries; final stage is still ongoing (August 2017)
3. Witold Bielecki Memorial, team tournament ELO<2000, start date: 2012-01-25, 22 European national teams, 6 players per team; finished on 2016-01-27
4. Match Witold's Friends - Rest of the World, start date: 2012-03-01, 261 boards (server part at 252 boards and postal part at 9 boards); finished on 2014-12-28

This bulletin is devoted mainly to the strongest event Witold Bielecki Memorial Top players.
It took me almost 2 years to arrange everything. Initial information on the event was placed in ICCF Congress 2010 minutes and then confirmed by WTD Frank Geider and details placed in his report to the ICCF Congress 2011 in Finland.

Friendliness, positive approach and kind acceptance of my invitation all involved participants enabled to arrange so strong and unique tournament like Witold Bielecki Memorial Top players.

Finally, average rating reached Rav=2639,15 elo points (16 category) - the strongest round robin correspondence chess event ever (until 2017). In so strong tournament Polish competitors participated - SIM Tadeusz Wilczek and GM Zbigniew Szczepański. Without them tournament could reach even category 17. Therefore, their task was extremely difficult to stay higher than their ranking. And they did it.

International arbiter (IA) Carlos Flores Gutiérrez (ESP) kindly agreed to direct this event.
In the individual chapters, you can find specific information, like short biographical note about Witold Bielecki, start list and some interesting statistics, short biographical notes of all participants, results and few annotated games. I believe they will be interesting to all chess fans.

To attract public attention to correspondence chess, all games were displayed live. Live transmission was delayed by 5 moves.

The tournament took 3 years and 1 month (started on 2012-03-01 and finished on 2015-04-06).

Fortunately, my initial worries that all players in so strong event finish their games with a draw were exaggerated. Despite numerous number of draws most of games were hard-fought and 13 games were resultative.

This event has two co-winners (the same tie-break: wins and Sonneborn-Berger score) GM Stephan Busemann (GER) and GM David A. van der Hoeven (NED) 7,5 points (wins=3, SB=42,00). After amazing finish and thanks to better tie-break GM Leonardo Ljubičić (CRO) 7,0 points (wins=2, $S B=40,50$ ) became the third, ahead of GM Arno Nickel 7,0 points (wins=2, $S B=39,75$ ).

Medals and certificates were presented during ICCF Congress 2015 in Wales.

I believe you will enjoy this bulletin!

Mariusz Wojnar, Polish Delegate to ICCF
Witold Bielecki Memorial Organizer

## Witold Bielecki biographical note

## Witold Bielecki (28.02.1947-05.04.2008)



Performing ICCF activities many of you knew Witold - personally or from the distance. Just few words about him.

He was chairman of the Polish Correspondence Chess Federation and Polish delegate to ICCF, correspondence chess player and official since 1964, IM since 1996 and IA since 1978, member and chairman of several ICCF working groups and committees/ commissions (Tournaments, Tournament Rules, Playing Rules, Appeals).
He performed function as a national team captain many times. He directed hundreds of tournaments, including eight editions of World Championship final (from 15 to 23, except of 19).
He laid down main effort as TO and TD for three World Cups (3rd, 6th and 7th) with total amount of 6.222 (sic!) entries.
During ICCF Congress 1998 in Riga (Latvia) he was awarded with "Bertl von Massow" medal in Gold for 15 years meritorious work for ICCF.
He cooperated with "Fernschach" for many years.
Witek left his wife Teresa and his son Robert and all of us unexpectedly, in the prime of his life. He was our great chess friend. He put his soul and his spare time into his work for correspondence chess. Always ready to assist you.

## Congress 2000, Daytona, USA




Gerhard, George, Witold

Gianni Mastrojeni, Victor Palciauskas, Alan P.Borwell, Abraham Raúl Ramirez, Maurizio Sampieri, in front Witold Bielecki, Hector R.

Tepper

## Congress 2004, Mumbai, India



Mumbai, Taj Mahal, India

## Congress 2005, Villa La Angostura, Argentina

(few photos)


## Congress 2007, Benalmadena, Spain

(few photos)


Witold accompanied by George \& Gian-Maria collects medals for Polish players


Witold \& George


Witold \& Teresa


Witold \& George with his wife Catherine


Witold \& Carlos

## Start list

(by start rating; rating and age at the start of the event)


| N | Photo | Title | Name，First Name | Flag | Nat | Rating 2012／1 | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  | GM | Hall，Richard V．M． | $\pm$ | ENG | 2640 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { senior } \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 |  | GM | Ljubičić，Leonardo | － | CRO | 2639 | 46 |
| 9 |  | GM | Hoeven，David A．van der | 三 | NED | 2629 | 43 |
| 10 |  | GM | Starke，René－Reiner | 可 | GER | 2620 | 43 |
| 11 |  | GM | Busemann，Stephan | 囫 | GER | 2606 | 55 |
| 12 |  | SIM | Wilczek，Tadeusz | $\square$ | POL | 2597 | 58 |
| 13 |  | GM | Szczepański，Zbigniew | － | POL | 2561 | 55 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  | 2638 | 50 |

## Statistics

Current (August 2017) GM norm statistics for Witold Bielecki Memorial participants are following:

| \#GM Norms | Title | Name, First Name | Nat | GM-since |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | GM | Wunderlich, Hans-Dieter | GER | 2006 |
| 12 | GM | Nickel, Arno | GER | 2001 |
| 11 | GM | Voss, Maximilian | GER | 2003 |
| 10 | GM | Ljubičić, Leonardo | CRO | 2011 |
| 9 | GM | Lafarga Santorromán, David | ESP | 2008 |
| 9 | GM | Papenin, Nikolai | UKR | 2011 |
| 8 | GM | Busemann, Stephan | GER | 1996 |
| 8 | GM | Hall, Richard V. M. | ENG | 2002 |
| 7 | GM | Hoeven, David A. van der | NED | 2004 |
| 7 | GM | Langeveld, Ron A. H. | NED | 2006 |
| 3 | GM | Szczepański, Zbigniew | POL | 2011 |
| 2 | GM | Starke, Dr. René-Reiner | GER | 2012 |
| 1 | SIM | Wilczek, Tadeusz | POL | - |
| 100 |  | In total |  |  |

Rating development of MT-Bielecki/Top players updated for the Rating List 2015/1:


Witold Bielecki Memorial/Top Players started on 2012-03-01 was the strongest single round robin tournament ever (until 2017) with average elo rating Rav=2638,46.

It can be observed at the "Top ICCF tournaments list" published at the ICCF Diamond Jubilee Web Book.

You can look at the participant list from different perspectives (see below short specification). So you can find here not only top rated players, but world champions (individual and team) or GM collectors, as well! That is very fascinating for cc fans to follow achievements of their beloved players during course of the event.

1. World Championship finals (Individual)
o World Champion (golden medallist)

- GM Ron Langeveld (NED) - 26th World Champion
- GM Leonardo Ljubičić (CRO) - 28th World Champion
o 1st vice Champion of the World (silver medallist)
- GM Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER) - World Championship 24 Final
- GM Richard Hall (ENG) - World Championship 25 Final
o 2nd vice Champion of the World (bronze medallist)
- GM Stephan Busemann (GER) - World Championship 21 Final
- GM David van der Hoeven (NED) - World Championship 23 Final

2. World Championship finals (Team)
o Team World Champion (golden medallist):

- ICCF Olympiad 12 Final, b5-GM Stephan Busemann (GER),
- ICCF Olympiad 14 Final, b3-GM Stephan Busemann (GER),
- ICCF Olympiad 17 Final, b1-GM Maximilian Voss (GER), b3-GM Arno Nickel (GER), b4-GM Stephan Busemann (GER), b5-GM Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER),
- ICCF Olympiad 18 Final, b3-GM Maximilian Voss (GER), b4-GM Arno Nickel (GER), b5-GM Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER),
o silver medallist
- ICCF Olympiad 15 Final, b3-GM Maximilian Voss (GER);
- ICCF Olympiad 17 Final, b1-GM David Lafarga (ESP),
o bronze medallist
- ICCF Olympiad 15 Final, b3-GM David van der Hoeven (NED),
- ICCF Olympiad 18 Final, b1-GM David Lafarga (ESP),

3. World Championship medal collectors
o 4 medals- (3 gold, 1 bronze) GM Stephan Busemann (GER),
o 3 medals-

- ( 2 gold, 1 silver) GM Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER),
- ( 2 gold, 1 silver) GM Maximilian Voss (GER),
o 2 medals-
- (2 gold) GM Arno Nickel (GER),
- (1 silver, 1 bronze) GM David Lafarga (ESP),
- (2 bronze) GM David van der Hoeven (NED),
o 1 medal-
- (1 gold) GM Ron Langeveld (NED),
- (1 gold) GM Leonardo Ljubičić (CRO),
- (1 silver) GM Richard Hall (ENG),

4. Top rated players with GM Nikolai Papenin (UKR) and GM Ron Langeveld (NED) at the top of the ICCF rating list (at the start of the event)
5. Participants of the 4th top rated event Hermann-Heemsoth Memorial (Rav=2632,82):
o GM Ron Langeveld (NED), GM Richard Hall (ENG), GM Maximilian Voss (GER), GM Stephan Busemann (GER),
6. Participants of Joop van Oosterom Memorial (Rav=2646,67):
o GM Ron Langeveld (NED), GM Richard Hall (ENG), GM Arno Nickel (GER), GM David Lafarga (ESP), GM David van der Hoeven (NED),
7. Winners of top ICCF tournaments:

- GM David Lafarga (ESP) - ICCF Olympiad 17 Final board 1 (cat.15),
- GM Arno Nickel (GER) - Simon Webb Memorial (ENG) (cat.15),
- GM David van der Hoeven (NED) - José Antonio Barrios Memorial - A (ESP) (cat.15),

8. All players are titled, 12 out of 13 are GMs
9. GM norm collectors - at the top is GM Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER) with 13 GM-norms (the highest number among ICCF players) ahead of GM Arno Nickel (GER) 12 GM-norms and GM Maximilian Voss (GER) - 11 GM-norms! All 13 participants gained 100 GM norms in total!

## Participants' biographical notes

Participants

## Stephan Busemann (GER)



Born: 1957-02-08
Living Place: Saarbrücken, Germany

Family: Married to Monika, no children

Education - Profession/ Job: Ph.D. in Computer Science; In 2011 became Honorary Professor of Computational Linguistics at the University of the Saarland; The Associate Head of DFKI's Language Technology Lab, where he is working as a principal researcher, lab manager and project leader. His areas of expertise are Artificial Intelligence, Computational Linguistics, Language Technology, and Natural Language Generation. More at http://www.dfki.de/~busemann/index.html;

Hobbies: CC, President of BdF (the German Federation for Correspondence Chess) and National Delegate to ICCF; photography, coins, travelling

Richard V. M. Hall (ENG)


Born: 1945-11-13
Living Place: Castleton, North Yorkshire, Grait Britain

Family: married to Anne; five grown-up children; dog

Education - Profession/ Job: educated at Bradford Grammar School, the University of Exeter and the College of Law, London; qualified as a lawyer in 1970 and appointed a Court advocate; appointed a judge in 1998

Hobbies: cc from 1961, Chairman of the ICCF Arbitration from 2004; President of BFCC (the British Federation for Correspondence Chess);

## David A. van der Hoeven (NED)

Born 1969-01-08
Living Place: Delfgauw, the Netherlands
Hobbies: cc from 1999, in 2000 became cc Champion of the Netherlands; from 2004 GM

## David Lafarga Santorromán (ESP)



Born: 1967-04-07
Living Place: Barbastro, northeast of Spain

Family: single
Education - Profession/ Job: Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering, work for the National University of Distance Education, which is in fact the largest in Spain with more than 200 hundred thousand students and 60 centres all over the country.

Hobbies: OTB\&cc; used to run half-marathons; reading on Energy-Economy, movies/TV series, astronomy

## Ron A. H. Langeveld (NED)



Born: 1966-10-10
Living Place: Utrecht, the Netherlands

Family: Married in 2011 to Wenhong

Education - Profession/ Job: an university degree in Business administration from the Rotterdam School of Management (Erasmus university); works as a systems engineer for a company that sells insurance software

Hobbies: cc, photography, traveling and hiking

## Leonardo Ljubičić (CRO)



Born: 1966-12-26
Living Place: Omiš, Croatia
Family: Married to Martina (40), daughter $(16), 2$ sons $(19,13)$

Education - Profession/ Job: B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering (University of Split), working on thesis for Masters Degree in Economy (Marketing), worked as Head of (city of) Split Development Agency; since March 2012 works in Merkur Group as shopping centre manager

Hobbies: cc; highest FIDE rating 2235; ICCF Marketing Director since 2017

## Arno Nickel (GER)



Born: 1952-02-15
Living Place: Berlin, Germany
Family:
Education - Profession/ Job: studied political science \& history; publisher of chess literature in Berlin; author of various articles and essays about chess

Hobbies: literature, film, music, history, philosophy

## Nikolai Papenin (UKR)



Born: 1985-09-30
Living Place: Simferopol, Crimea, Ukraine

Family: Married, one daughter
Education - Profession/ Job: studied finance at the University in Simferopol

Hobbies: hockey, basketball; otb chess from 1990, FIDE IM from 2000, highest FIDE rating 2415; cc from 2007

## René-Reiner Starke (GER)



Born: 1969-01-18
Living Place: Berlin, Germany
Family: Married in 2011
Education - Profession/ Job: studied business administration and computer science, PhD in economics at the technical university of Berlin; working as senior consultant and IS project manager for SAP Business Warehouse systems for the company Bombardier Transportation. Bombardier is the market leader for production of rail vehicles worldwide

Hobbies: chess, jogging, swimming and soccer

## Zbigniew Szczepański (POL)



Born: 1957-05-12

Living Place: Sosnowiec, Poland
Family: Married to Danuta, son Marcin (23)

Education - Profession/ Job: chief engineer and sales manager for many years in steel industry; private outsourcing company for few years; currently works for Trading Standards Association

Hobbies: cc from 1982, football (former football player), literature, music, film, traveling

## Maximilian Voss (GER)



Born: 1965-06-26
Living Place: Erftstadt, Germany
Family: Married, one daughter (17)

Education - Profession/ Job: judicial officer in Cologne

Hobbies: cc, traveling, football, movies

## Tadeusz Wilczek (POL)



Born: 1954-10-15
Living Place: Warsaw, Poland
Family: two grown-up sons
Education - Profession/ Job:
runs "Pension Alpina" (www.pensionalpina.at) in Austrian Alps since few years

Hobbies: cc from 2004

## Hans-Dieter Wunderlich (GER)



Born: 1952-07-17
Living Place: Munich, Germany
Family: two grown-up sons (24/21 years)

Education - Profession/ Job: mathematician; now is working as a Product Manager in the mobile networks area

Hobbies: cycling and playing tennis; OTB chess since childhood, cc since being a student

## Tournament Director

## Carlos Flores Gutiérrez (ESP)



Born: 1933-12-29
Living Place: Tomares, Seville, Spain

Family: Married to Isabel, five children

Education - Profession/ Job: Business administration; Senior citizen

Hobbies: CC from 1968, indoor games, literature, theatre; SIM from 2002, IA from 1995; directed many tournaments including world championship finals (individual: 20replaced Witold, 25, 27; team: 17).

## Final results－cross table

## Witold Bielecki Memorial／Top players

| Witold Bielecki Memorial／Top players 2012－2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | TD Flores Gutiérrez，Carlos（IA） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 16 GM＝6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Score | Wins | SB |
| 1 | GER | GM | Busemann，Dr．Stephan | 2606 | 暞 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7，5 | 3 | 42，00 |
| 1 | NED | GM | Hoeven，David A．van der | 2629 | $1 / 2$ | dig | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7，5 | 3 | 42，00 |
| 3 | CRO | GM | Ljubičić，Ing．Leonardo | 2639 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 暏 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 40，50 |
| 4 | GER | GM | Nickel，Arno | 2643 | 1／2 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 湅 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 39，75 |
| 5 | NED | GM | Langeveld，Ron A．H． | 2681 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 㽞 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 6，5 |  |  |
| 6 | GER | GM | Wunderlich，Dr．Hans－Dieter | 2655 | 1／2 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 筧 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 6 | 1 | 35，25 |
| 7 | ESP | GM | Lafarga Santorromán，David | 2643 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 腮 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 6 | 1 | 35，00 |
| 8 | GER | GM | Voss，Maximilian | 2657 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 䁬 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 6 | 0 | 36，00 |
| 8 | ENG | GM | Hall，Richard V．M． | 2640 | 1／2 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 嗅 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 6 | 0 | 36，00 |
| 10 | POL | SIM | Wilczek，Tadeusz | 2597 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | 㐭 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 5 | 0 | 30，50 |
| 11 | GER | SIM | Starke，Dr．René－Reiner | 2620 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 暏 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 5 | 0 | 29，00 |
| 12 | POL | GM | Szczepański，Zbigniew | 2561 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 暞 | $1 / 2$ | 4，5 |  |  |
| 13 | UKR | GM | Papenin，Nikolai | 2729 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 晢 | 4 |  |  |



Nickel plates，medals and certificates presented during ICCF Congress 2015 in Wales

## Games, including commented

## Games Statistics

## Draw percentage

Draw percentage is high (83\%), but this is common feature of current cc tournaments, especially high rated.

| Results | N | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78 | 100 |
| White Win (1:0) | 11 | 14 |
| Black Win $(0: 1)$ | 2 | 3 |
| Draw $(0,5: 0,5)$ | 65 | 83 |

## Number of moves

Number of moves in the event in total: 2888; Average number of moves per game: 37
The longest game has 92 moves (Ljubicic-Lafarga) and the shortest one 15 (Hoeven-Langeveld).
The most persistent were David Lafarga - 503 moves in the event with average 42 and Leonardo Ljubicic - 498 moves with average 42. They played also the longest game ( 92 moves).

On the economical side were Maximilian Voss - 347 moves with average 29 and Arno Nickel 348 moves with average 29.

More detailed statistics see below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moves |  |  |  | Game |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Score | Wins | SB | white | black | total | ave | short | long |
| 1 | GER | GM | Busemann, Stephan | 2606 | 7,5 | 3 | 42,00 | 237 | 196 | 433 | 36 | 23 | 52 |
| 1 | NED | GM | Hoeven, David A. van der | 2629 | 7,5 | 3 | 42,00 | 254 | 177 | 431 | 36 | 15 | 67 |
| 3 | CRO | GM | Ljubičić, Leonardo | 2639 | 7 | 2 | 40,50 | 290 | 208 | 498 | 42 | 24 | 92 |
| 4 | GER | GM | Nickel, Arno | 2643 | 7 | 2 | 39,75 | 175 | 173 | 348 | 29 | 19 | 48 |
| 5 | NED | GM | Langeveld, Ron A. H. | 2681 | 6,5 |  |  | 271 | 218 | 489 | 41 | 15 | 61 |
| 6 | GER | GM | Wunderlich, Hans-Dieter | 2655 | 6 | 1 | 35,25 | 221 | 233 | 454 | 38 | 20 | 56 |
| 7 | ESP | GM | Lafarga Santorromán, David | 2643 | 6 | 1 | 35,00 | 194 | 309 | 503 | 42 | 23 | 92 |
| 8 | GER | GM | Voss, Maximilian | 2657 | 6 | 0 | 36,00 | 182 | 165 | 347 | 29 | 19 | 49 |
| 8 | ENG | GM | Hall, Richard V. M. | 2640 | 6 | 0 | 36,00 | 239 | 247 | 486 | 41 | 23 | 63 |
| 10 | POL | SIM | Wilczek, Tadeusz | 2597 | 5 | 0 | 30,50 | 237 | 241 | 478 | 40 | 24 | 61 |
| 11 | GER | SIM | Starke, René-Reiner | 2620 | 5 | 0 | 29,00 | 201 | 233 | 434 | 36 | 23 | 53 |
| 12 | POL | GM | Szczepański, Zbigniew | 2561 | 4,5 |  |  | 210 | 231 | 441 | 37 | 21 | 63 |
| 13 | UKR | GM | Papenin, Nikolai | 2729 | 4 |  |  | 177 | 257 | 434 | 36 | 21 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2888 | 2888 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ave | 37 | 37 |  |  |  |  |

## Openings statistics

| Openings | N | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78 | 100,0 |
| 1.e4 | 48 | 61,5 |
| 1.d4 | 27 | 34,6 |
| 1.Nf3 | 3 | 3,9 |

The most popular opening was Sicilian Defence (27) - especially Najdorf (14) - ahead of Queen's Gambit (13) and Ruy Lopez (13). For more detailed statistics see below.

Openings classification by ECO (78 games)

| A | 3 |  |  |  |  | A - Flank openings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | A1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | A17 | 1 | A17 English Opening, Hedgehog Defence |
|  |  | A9 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | A90 | 2 | A90 Dutch Defence |
| B | 30 |  |  |  |  | B - Semi-Open Games other than the French Defence |
|  |  | B1 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B12 | 3 | B12 Caro-Kann Defence |
|  |  | B3 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B30 | 3 | B30 Sicilian Defence |
|  |  |  |  | B31 | 1 | B31 Sicilian, Nimzovich-Rossolimo Attack |
|  |  |  |  | B33 | 5 | B33 Sicilian, Sveshnikov (Lasker-Pelikan) Variation |
|  |  | B4 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B40 | 1 | B40 Sicilian Defence, 2.Nf3 e6 |
|  |  |  |  | B49 | 1 | B49 Sicilian, Taimanov Variation |
|  |  | B5 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B54 | 1 | B54 Sicilian |
|  |  | B9 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | B90 | 10 | B90 Sicilian, Najdorf |
|  |  |  |  | B94 | 1 | B94 Sicilian, Najdorf, 6.Bg5 |
|  |  |  |  | B96 | 1 | B96 Sicilian, Najdorf, 7.f4 |
|  |  |  |  | B97 | 2 | B97 Sicilian, Najdorf, 7...Qb6 including Poisoned Pawn Variation |
| C | 19 |  |  |  |  | C - Open Games and the French Defence |
|  |  | C1 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | C10 | 6 | C10 French, Paulsen Variation |
|  |  | C6 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | C67 | 1 | C67 Ruy Lopez, Berlin Defence, Open Variation |
|  |  | C8 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | C88 | 2 | C88 Ruy Lopez, Closed |
|  |  |  |  | C89 | 2 | C89 Ruy Lopez, Marshall Counterattack |
|  |  | C9 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | C92 | 2 | C92 Ruy Lopez, Closed, 9.h3 |
|  |  |  |  | C93 | 2 | C93 Ruy Lopez, Closed, Smyslov Defence |
|  |  |  |  | C95 | 4 | C95 Ruy Lopez, Closed, Breyer Defence, 10.d4 |
| D | 17 |  |  |  |  | D - Closed Games and Semi-Closed Games |
|  |  | D2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | D20 | 1 | D20 Queen's Gambit Accepted |
|  |  |  |  | D27 | 1 | D27 Queen's Gambit Accepted, Classical Variation |
|  |  | D3 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | D30 | 1 | D30 Queen's Gambit Declined: Orthodox Defence |
|  |  | D4 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | D43 | 7 | D43 Queen's Gambit Declined: Semi-Slav Defence |
|  |  |  |  | D44 | 3 | D43 Queen's Gambit Declined: Semi-Slav Defence 5.Bg5 dxc4 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | D72 | 2 | D72 Neo-Grünfeld, 5.cxd5, Main line |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | D76 | 1 | D76 Neo-Grünfeld, 6.cxd5 Nxd5, 7.0-0 Nb6 |
|  |  | D8 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | D81 | 1 | D81 Grünfeld; Russian Variation |
| E | 9 |  |  |  |  | E - Indian Defenses |
|  |  | E0 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E04 | 1 | E04 Catalan, Open, 5.Nf3 |
|  |  |  |  | E05 | 1 | E05 Catalan, Open, Classical line |
|  |  | E1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E17 | 1 | E17 Queen's Indian, 5.Bg2 Be7 |
|  |  | E3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E32 | 2 | E32 Nimzo-Indian, Classical Variation (4.Qc2) |
|  |  | E5 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E58 | 2 | E58 Nimzo-Indian, 4.e3, Main line with 8...Bxc3 |
|  |  | E6 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E60 | 2 | E60 King's Indian Defence |

## Game records

Game records can be displayed at the tournament website or downloaded from ICCF server (pgn format).

Few games annotated by participants can be found below as an attachment to this file. One game was annotated by GM (OTB) Twan Burg. Many thanks for that.
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## Busemann，Stephan Nickel，Arno

B97 2606 2643
01．03．2012
［Annotations by Arno Nickel］ My Sicilian game versus the tournament winner of the Bielecki Memorial turned out to be much more time consuming and complicated as expected．To introduce the reader，I like to mention that Stephan，whom I met first in an o－t－b game 30 years before（！），had won a couple of correspondence chess games against the Najdorf with 6．Bg5．But that was around 1998－2004 and already history． Yet，what I did not know，he was just about to win another game against the Poisoned Pawn（vs．Zambor）．So he was in good shape to give me a hard time．Some months after start of our game he opted again for $6 . \mathrm{Bg} 5$ vs． Stalmach，which shows that he still preferred that weapon in difference to other concepts like the trendy English Attack with Be3．1．e4 c5 2．⿹\zh26f3 d6 3．d4 cxd4 4． 0 xd 4 － 2 f 6 5．0c3 a6 6． $\mathbf{⿷ g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g}$ e6 7．f4 h6
 10．e5 dxe5 11．fxe5 ${ }^{\text {Dfd7 }} 12 . ⿹ 勹 巳$
 15．欮e3 蹓xe5 16．思e2 悤c5 17．思g3思xd4（17．．．${ }^{[4 \pi} d 5$ There is not much difference capturing one move later on d4．Black allows $18 . c 4$ ŕ tempo， but that does not help White too
 20．${ }^{\text {mad }} 12$ 0－0 21．思d6 宦d8 $22 . g 4$亿c6 23．0－0 Mde5 24．h4 幽xd6
 bxc5 28．思h5 hxg5 29．hxg5 惫d7




 G（2469）－Szczepankiewicz，D（2467）
 0－0 20．息d6 罟d8 In a detailed analysis（from 2013）to this game SIM H．Bellmann dislikes this rook move and recommends either 20．．． Nc6（developing queenside）or counter attack by 20．．．．f5！．It seems， 20．．．f5 recently showed some good results for Black．（20．．．${ }^{2}$ c6 21．0－0


 29．${ }^{(2)} x d 5$ 思xe6 30．追f8 32．品a1 悤d5 33．






 ＂－＂（51）Boldysh，K（2402）－Cardelli，G （2385）ICCF 2014；20．．．f5 21．思xf8

 26． 28．过f2 G5 29．h4 ©f7 30．©xf7

 ＂－＂（35）Serradimigni，R（2564）－ Glazman，M（2553）ICCF 2013）







 41．古f2 a4 42．h3 枯d4 43．＂xe6












的6 77．思a2 gxh4 78．睘xh4


 Busemann，S（2624）－Zambor，N （2471）ICCF 2010 ］
 11．e5 dxe5 12．fxe5 A modern tabiya， where Black as to decide between three moves．©d5 Looking forward to the probably arising topical position around move 20，I decided for this somehow＇natural＇move keeping my pawn structure in order and trading pieces if being attacked．Somewhere I had read，Black needs not to worry about that many possibilities as in most other lines of the Poisoned Pawn． Well，after this game，I am no longer sure about that statement．．．
［ $12 . . . \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ see the two games above， where Nfd7 happened before ．．．h6， which usually leads to the same position．Recent correspondence chess games seem to give Black quite good drawing chances with Nfd7．Yet，at the time when this
game started things were rather unclear．After 20 moves White enjoys a lot of piece pressure for the three pawns that he sacrified． And I was not prepared to enter such an unclear position．I would have meant to study the position after 17. Bg3 for some weeks in order to answer the question how realiable 12．．．Nfd7 really is．］
［ 12．．．g5 Black scores quite well with this radical method．13．exf6 gxh4


悤c5 22．骂bd1 b5 23．悤f3 思b7

26． $\mathrm{P} x \mathrm{~b} 7+$ 喜xb7 27．



b4 36．置g2 a5 37．过f3 啚a6

 1／2－1／2（42）Busemann，D（2607）－
Stalmach，K（2562）ICCF 2012 ］
13． $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{xd} 5} \mathrm{exd} 514 . \mathrm{e} 6$
［14．思c4 悤e7 15．思xe7 欮xe7
思xb7＂－＂（18）Gavrilakis，N（2475）－
Bellmann，H（2471）BdF 2009 ］
14．．．思xe6 15．©xe6
［ 15．${ }^{\text {maxb }}$ 7 This capture doesn＇t bring
 16．分xe6 fxe6 17．克d1 0－0 18．斯e1
 21．药xe1 悤d4 22．起d1 骂f7 23．息e7







Qc6 29．苞xe6＋真h8 30．思xd5 骂d8

思xc1＂－＂（35）Karjakin，S（2782）－
Anand，V（2786）Moscow 2013 ］
15．．．fxe6 16．${ }^{\text {⿷an }} \mathrm{d} 3$ Pressure with the two bishops，especially on the white squares，supported by heavy pieces， looks like a promising comcept．Black will not be able to castle and has to hide his king behind his center pawns．
 First step to consolidation，starting to build some kind of fortress．19．0－0 © d 7
［ 19．．．b5？！20．c4！$\rightarrow$ bxc4 21．${ }^{\text {gif7 }}$


欮d6 29．冨g1 d4 30．Me4 c2


 Ljubicic，L（2626）－Kuosa，N（2498） ICCF email 2011］

## 罗6

［ 22．．．g c 8 ？！The idea to activate the rook on the c－file and hide the king via c7 and b8 is too slow．23．h3？！ But this is too slow too．White shall control the f 1 －square by Bd3！．





 Too passive（ $32 . . . d 4 \pm$ ） 33 ．㗐g2 $\pm \mathrm{d} 4$
 e5 37．h5 e4？（ $37 . .$. 省f8）38．${ }^{\text {思c4 }}$
 41．gf4 1－0（41）Solak，D（2639）－Antoli

Royo，J（2443）Biel 2014 ］



1－0（29）Obregon Rivero，J（2519）－
Vera Gonzalez Quevedo，R（2446）
Merida 2013 ］







0－1（35）Kosintseva，T（2570）－Forsaa，
E（2306）Caleta 2011］
23．婜d3



 1／2－1／2（31）Horvath，J（2514）－ Horvath，C（2540）Montesilvano 2015
 34．g3 a5 35．高g2 起e7 36．䢪f3 b4
 b3 40．思xb3 axb3 41．喜c3 起xe6 42．g4 超e5 43．h4 超f4 44.95 hxg 5 45．hxg5 b2 46．喜xb2 超xg5 1／2－1／2（46）Giri，A（2730）－Popilski， G（2475）Eilat 2012 ］
23．．．dac7












## 24．c4 d4 25．씀e2 㗊af8



Black managed to activate und coordinate all his pieces，he protects all weak squares，and he has counter play along the f－file．For the endgame his central pawns will be a trump．This assessment for reason enough for me to play this line．I did not care too much for the weak h6－pawn，but that did cause me some headache when going deeper into analysis．I had to consider that White might be able to to set up threats on both sides of the board at the same time．26．絲e4 b6
［ 26．．．${ }^{\mu \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{c}$ c6！？sacrifying the d－pawn now looks to me as an interesting try for active counter play，but it also looks rather committing．．You don＇t love to give up such a nice pawn．
27．씀xd4 㟧6f7（27．．．







［ $28 . \mathrm{a} 4$ dada $29 . \mathrm{h} 3$ is just a different move order．］
28．．．葸d6
［28．．．爫e5 29．a4 蹓c5（29．．．迠d6 $30 . c 5+$ bxc5 see below variation A－ different move order ）30．．ap 1


Kosintseva，T（2517）－Hou，Y（2617）
Geneve FIDE GP（Women） 2013
（1） $1 / 2$ ）；








46．葸c4 罟d6 47．




 hxg4 60．hxg4 浐f6 61．过f4 e5＋


66．g5＋高e6 67．gh6＋置e7

1－0（69）Markic，V（2208）－Matúsek， I（2156）ICCF 2012 ）33．c5＋© xc5
34．${ }^{\text {man }} 7 \pm$ see below line B to 30 ．
Ra1（instead of 30．Re1）］
（Diagram）
29．a4 Only now，when reaching this position，I realized，how difficult it is for Black to defend．White is about to sacrify the c－pawn or the a－pawn in order to open files against Black＇s king．

［ 29．思c2 Parimarjan Negi＇s new suggestion in his book＂1．e4 vs The Sicilian I＂（Quality Chess 2015），pp．


 37．${ }^{\text {免h5 Negi：＂White can continue }}$ improving his pieces with $\mathrm{Bf} 3, \mathrm{Bg} 4$ ， or even Rh8xh6．Black＇s position has not yet been breached，but he remains under pressure，with no clear way to simplify or obtain counterplay．＂－Well，no counterplay？ All I see is，Black perfectly controlling all critical squares， especially in the centre and along 4th－8th rank same as on the e－and f－ file with quite active rooks．White＇s manouevres starting with 29．Bc2 lead to nowhere．He gave up pressure on the 7th rank and allowed Black to coordinate all his pieces． Black will play 37 ．．．Nd7，and $38 . \mathrm{Bg} 4$ will be met by 38 ．．．Rf8．－We will come back to Negi some moves


29．．．$\ddagger$ c7 I preferred，not to allow c4－c5，
even though I could not find a win for White after 29．．．Qe5 30．c5！？－But may， Stephan would find it．．．
Yet，as said before，this was not the end of my pain．What，if White would threaten a4－a5 after 29．．．Kc7 30．Ra1 Kd6 ？
［ 29．．．世4． m 30．c5＋！？（30．a5？！＝bxa5

 A）30．．．bxc5？31． $\mathrm{B} x a 6$ 断e3


 34．a5 d3 35．씄g7 嫘g5

斯xf1＋39．息xf1 高e5 40．思e2 c4 41．a6 c3 42．思d1 罟a7


 49．思xd1 置d2 50．思b3 c2
 1－0（52）Martin Gonzalez，A （2286）－Shpakovsky，A（2411） ICCF 2010）36．a6 欮xg7



 c4 41．監b6＋造d5 42．思a4 1－0（42）Schramm，A（2509）－
Fenwick，J（2425）ICCF email 2011；
A2）32．．．d3 33．思xd3 甾f4

















38．सw ch c2＋taff This position made it up into a brandnew opening book by the Indian FIDE grandmaster Parimarjan Negi．In his detailed survey＂1．e4 vs The Sicilian I＂（Quality Chess 2015）he analyses the position after 21．．．Rf8 on six pages（pp．238－243）using a lot of correspondence games，but without ever mentioning the names of the players．He is fascinated by all those games and especially how this line seems to hold a draw for Black．－It＇s of course nice to see that we correspondence chess players produce top opening theory as acknowledged by a world class player，but refusing credits to the players who created the games， looks quite irritating to me．May be he felt，he had quoted enough correspondence chess games （with names！）in the previous chapters of his book，so that should do．．．Anyway，recommends a different move for White：29．Bc2 ＂！N＂－＂an important impovement．．．＂ instead of $29 . a 4$ as he says．






 51．克h2 1／2－1／2（51）Auzins，M （2387）－Rawlings，A（2403）ICCF 2013）45．．．＂d6 46．सưd d

B1） $46 \ldots$ ．．．
B1a）47．輏xe3 dxe3 48．克h2



49．tag3 骂d4 50．起f3 背xa4
51．鬼xe3 a5＝；
B1b）47．쓤 b1 삘d8
B1b1）48．쓴 $b 6$ 品g8 49．棌d6 朝h7（49．．．d3？
50．岁f6）50．






品g5 62．${ }^{\text {gnxd4 }} \mathrm{h} 5$ 63．克h2 $2 \pm$ ）；

 घg5き；

 를 $\mathrm{d}=$＝；
 48．骂b6

B2a）48．．．皆e1＋49．甼h2

（51．断xe3 dxe3 52．${ }^{[x} x h 6+$思g7 53．


1－0（58）Dmitrieva，G（2166）－
Lil＇，P（2160）ICCF 2012 ）


53．憵g6＋迠h8 54．政xh6＋
四e2＋57．高g1 敬d2 58．桘f1 d3 $\ddagger$
B2b）48．．．骂d6 49．罟b8＋衰g7




57．（2b7＋1／2－1／2（57）Kubicki， T（2420）－Jensen，C（2330） ICCF 2013 枯f6 58．超h4 罟e5 59．g4 1／2－1／2（59）Ljubicic，l （2639）－Fleetwood，D（2578） ICCF 2012 ］
［ 29．．．絽c6？30．a5 $\pm$ bxa5 31．思e2甾5（31．．．
 1－0（35）Titzhoff，F（2297）－Popov，V （2213）ICCF 2013 ）32．\＃d1 irgc5

30．${ }^{\text {ene }}$ 1 Gasp of relief for Black．．．Now I felt for sure，I would not risk to lose the game．．．
［ 30．ga1！？Westera，B（2463）－Noble， M（2509）DE5A／F ICCF 2014 1／2－1／2


























1－0（59）Serradimigni，R（2564）－
Philippeit，B（2269）ICCF 2013；


 1／2－1／2（41）Noble，M（2547）－
Selen，D（2405）ICCF 2012）
37．．．＂唯xc4 38．axb6＋起d6

41．b7＋真c7 42．思e8 包b8


B） $30 \ldots$ ．．㿻e5 31．a5 bxa5 32．घc1




41．思xa6 e5 42．高g1 曽f5

45．${ }^{(2)} \mathrm{b} 5 \pm$ ］
30．．．t．d6
［ 30．．．h5？！31．घb1 踟e5（31．．．＂f2





45．曻xa2 欮c1＋46．达h2 h4




56．${ }^{[1}$ d2 1－0（56）Simakhin，A（2521）－
Vassiliev，I（2332）ICCF 2012 ）32．c5




42． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{xd} 3 \pm$ ］



 ［ 30．．．政b4？

A1） $32 \ldots$ 起b8？！ 33 ．를 4 a 5 （33．．．h5 34．皆g3＋e5 35．${ }^{m} x d 4$




羷 d 6 38．思e2








56．h4 造c7 57．超h3（0）
 60．享f5 欮d3＋61．思e4
1－0（63）Kilichenko，A（2355）－
Kunz，H（2350）ICCF 2012；



33．＂ $\mathrm{m} 1 \pm$ ］









 41．a5 d3 42．a6＋枯a7 43．登a8＋





## 31．听e4 品b8

 33．悤e2 登f4 34．皆h7 h5）32．．．a5








 54.94 宸 6355.95 d3 56．h5 迠a6

 1－0（63）Lebedev，V（2415）－ Borisenkov，D（2288）ICCF 2012 ）］ ［ 31．．．䜿e8？！




 Ohtake，S（2446）－Krueger，H（2322） ICCF 2013］

 （36．．．bxa5 37．晄e4）37．cxb5 气e5
 40．溦xe1＋－］
（Diagram）

## 35．骂xh6

［ $35 . a 5$ ！？I was very happy，Stephan

did not push forward his a－pawn．
Finally it would have shown that
Black could not prevent White from opening the position on the queenside． $35 . \mathrm{a} 5$ had been the move， I was worrying about．A dangerous attack and lots of more work seemed to wait for me．．．Today，looking behind，I might have overestimated White＇s chances；yet I had not anticipated all strong moves for Black like 37．．．Qe5！in the lines below．쓸d6（35．．．㗆xa5？？ 36．政xd4）36．axb6＋登xb6





B） $37 .{ }^{\text {mid }} \mathrm{d} 1$

39．悤c4 щg7 40．घe1 断xe1＋



（46．．．嗃b7？？47．踟b1＋＋－）
47．断xa4＋高b7＝；

$39 . c 5$ 骂b4 40．鬼e4


44．h4 气e4 45．真h2 ©c3


 51．g4＋－）47．g゙de1 d3




 57．घxd2 a5＝；
B2b）40．．．d3 41．思xd3 घdd4








 Mf4 60．ตe3＋古f6 61．思e4


 59．＂g88 1／2－1／2（59）Ljubicic，I （2639）－Hall，R（2640）ICCF 2012；




汕xe6 49．ష゙xe6 a5；45．．．亗c6） 46．品xh6 䜿d6 47．超h2
 48．g4（48．5\％h8）48．．．a5 49.95 a4 50．骂e3 起c5

35．．．घ




 well placed for attacking the king，but now it is badly placed in front of its own passed pawns．$\ddagger$ 44．曼h3 罣xc4 45．g4 b5 46．axb5 axb5 47．wh ©e4 48．© m Last move on 22nd June， 2013.

故f6 51．高g3 b4＝］
1／2

## 2

Busemann，Stephan
Papenin，Nikolai
B90
2606
2729
MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）
01．03．2012
［Busemann，Stephan］
［Annotations by Stephan Busemann］ 1．e4 c5 2． Vf3 $^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{d} 63 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{cxd} 44 . ⿹ x d 4$

8．f3 息669．

14．f4 a5 15．f5 a4 16． $\mathrm{S}^{\text {bd4 }}$ exd4 17． $\mathbf{\varphi}^{2} x d 4$ b3 18．古b1 bxc2＋19． 0 xc2思b3 20．axb3 axb3 21．©a3 包 5

## （Diagram）

This position has occurred thousands of times，resulting in a draw in most of the cases．While play is highly unbalanced，a frequent pattern has both sides succeed in their attacks on the enemy king，with one side giving a perpetual to avoid getting mated．
22．Mug2 With this move，a less frequently visited territory is entered．I desperately wanted to deviate to seek

chances against the then leader of the Elo list．
［The most common continuation is
 is another branch of playable

 28．思c4 思xa3 29．bxa3 9d6 30．g6 hxg6 31．fxg6 ©xc4 32．gxf7＋朝h7


品f2＋41．超b1＂－＂（Hall，R（2640）－
Papenin，N（2729），this Bielecki／Top－ Memorial）．］

［ 23．．．घa 24 is seen more often，when the following game is of interest：


罟e8 32．e5 乌f5 33．欮f4 Black needs to quickly pull out its survival kit． White won in Kraft，D（2395）－Krueger，
H（2402）ICCF 2011．］
24．h4 씀b7
（Diagram）


25．h5！？An idea of CC－GM H．D． Wunderlich，which he employed twice in the Alfonso－Lannaioli－Memorial （2007－2010）．I liked it because a more closed position will arise，in which the White initiative must be met with a purely defensive approach．
［The common way White plays this is

 with the usual mess that leads to a draw，e．g．，Giuliani，S（2632）－Nimtz， M（2641）－just to quote another game of the Lannaoli Memorial．］ 25．．．f6 26．g6 h6 Now the box is closed， and the only breakthrough White has as its disposal is a bishop sac on h6． On the other hand，Black may get problems with his back rank，as his King is easily mated．In more pathetic words，the box may become a coffin．．．

## 

［ 27．．．c7？leads to a rude
awakening：28．＂゙xe5！fxe5 29．思xh6
gxh6 30．g7＋さ］

（Diagram）


茄xa3？The thematic move already，also played by Nimtz against Wunderlich． White gains a strong initiative to which Black so far has not shown sufficient counterplay．
［Better is 29．．．．】a8 when Wunderlich was not able to grab the full point：






＂－＂（Wunderlich，HD（2618）－Giuliani，S （2632）ICCF Lannaioli－Memorial 2007）．］
30．bxa3 0 c7 31．a4 $\pm$ Secures an advantage，as the a－ is becoming very nasty．Since this has been played before with a loss for Black，I don＇t understand why my opponent chose this line，in which he can only fight for
 Exc1＋34．©xc1
（Diagram）
Black will soon activate his 思 via d8．


Amazingly，his well－centered is controlled by the white 悤 and is simply out of play．Play happens on the files a－ c ，where the a－pawn is the major activist－－even though in the game it won＇t move anymore．些c8 35 ．tab b 2
呰xf5 36．䅋e2 悤d8 Accompanied by a draw proposal．．．37．古xb3 欮h3＋
断b7 Black has grabbed two pawns in for his b3，but the balance is not in his favour due to the white diagonals opened．41．思b2 签a8 42．政e6


思a5 Here Papenin deviated from the game Wunderlich－Nimtz．（42 moves are my personal record in game copying．） He had used up his time and continued in＂24 hours mode＂．
［In said game，Black continued 42．．．悤c7 43．悤c3 断d844．起b3 when the race of the passers will start，with the a－$\}$ remaining victorious，as White can enforce its advance with back rank mate threats．
d5 45．a5 d4 46．悤b4 蹓a8 47．置a4 d3 48．a6 欮b8 49．筧e7
with a winning position in Wunderlich，
HD（2618）－Nimtz，M（2641）ICCF
Lannaioli－Memorial 2007．］
43．$\frac{4}{d} x d 6$ At first this looks like an easy win，with the black $\frac{d}{b}$ cut off and a swap lying in the air．When I studied this position to find a win，I got stuck many times．Much to my dismay，I found that Black－though in a completely passive position－has amazing resources at his disposal to escape into a draw，ranging from piece sacrifices to stalemates．
The position can hardly be analysed in terms of concrete variations．Rather，a methodological approach was called for， identifying the conditions under which a victory was possible or denied．
Computer engines are helpful to check lines but don＇t know about strategies． Houdini just doesn＇t see the sacrifice on a4．A human conjectures that the a－ pawn eventually might cost a piece， and then，how is the structure cracked open？－I slowly understood that I had to delve deeper．The weeks during which I worked this out were quite fascinating．Such times are a major reason to play and enjoy CC．

Let us look at the major findings．After a queen swap，which is much desired by White，Black can strive for a draw in several ways：
1．Black sacrifices the for the a－
The extra white square 思 suddenly is useless，as the black 存＇s＂coffin＂is locked safely－there is no way to crack it．Thus White must not allow the sacrifice and deny it the squares b6 and c5，which basically means to keep c4 and d7 safely covered by the 悤．
2．White exchanges the（appearing on b6 or c5），leaving differently coloured bishops on the board．Black then gladly gives his 鼻 for the $a-\xi$ ， again leaving White with a useless extra思．
3．Black exchanges the for the white squared 思 and manages to sacrifice his思 for the a－\＆．The remaining 思f8 plus坋f7 can＇t break the＂coffin＂either since the f －$\}$ would promote first．If，on the other hand，the $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{s}$ is taken，the attempt results in stalemate．
4．Black gives his 息 for the $a-\}$ and manages to win h5．Not all 悤思－ endings are won．If the can establish itself on e5，it seems to be a draw．
 from the black 씀，and preventing ．．． Ac4．
［As a consequence of the above， queens cannot be exchanged immediately．For instance：44． M e e 7
 $\Delta$－ $\mathrm{b} 6 \mathrm{xa4}=$ ］
44．．．思b4 45．．
［The computer wants to play 45．${ }^{\text {思 }}$ a6 which may also work，but I have my doubts．］
45．．．思a5


This position I took with me on a holiday trip to Botswana and Namibia． During the long road travels through the country，when there was not much to see，I used paper and pen to try to find winning positions I could enforce， studying diagrams，and noting key moves，（almost）always with an eye on possible traps．46．（d4 After my return I played this and was sure to win．To my amazement－and some disappointment－Papenin simply overstepped the time limit．When I wrote these comments several months after the end of the game，applying the ＂findings＂laid out above made me find several mistakes in my previous analyses．I more than once went as far as thinking that White couldn＇t win．It certainly would have been a thrilling time to play this out．Let＇s see a summary of my（updated）analyses． ［ 46．${ }^{\text {d d }} \mathrm{d} 4$ As mentioned before，the first task is to swap queens without letting the approach sa4．In carrying this out，zugzwang plays a role since the black 僺 must protect the first row．Basically Black is forced
to repeat the same kind of position， while White slowly progresses．The second task，which I won＇t
demonstrate in full detail，is to advance the $\mathrm{a}-\hat{\beta}$ ．This $\}$ is a gem；it must not be sacrificed for a worthless包思．White＇s active 置 should make the difference．
 48．甶 $c 5$ leads to a position discussed below．）

A） 47. ． $\mathbf{⿷}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{c} 3$ is quite doubtful since the may become a real hero：
 $50 . \mathrm{a} 5$ 部b8


A1）51．置a4 f5（51．．．新f4＋ 52．息c4 裉b8 53．a6＋－）52．a6


 （1） $66+=$ ；
A2）51．a6（2） 52 ．．
 perpetual．
） $52 .$. © c 753 ． m b7
（Diagram）


Looks threatening，doesn＇t it？
Well，there is
0xa6！＝Note that even though the D 8 will fall，White can＇t win．；



Watching out for a check on h3． 48．置b2（48． 8 客b4 attempts to carry out the second step before the first one．斯h3＋49．霓d3

 is not working since Black can
now use h1 to give a perpetual．） 48．．．． is the right plan，but ©c4＋ throws a spanner in the works．） 49．．． $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{micc} \\ & \mathrm{c} \\ & \mathrm{c} \\ & \text { We have reached the }\end{aligned}$ same position as after move 47， but with the da2 instead of b3． The lack of 蹓h3＋finally allows 50．些d6

to be played．
B1） $50 \ldots$ ．．． f 3 accepts the 㙏 swap and aims for counterplay

 （ $53 . .$. ．$x$ xb loses as the $\&$ will promote．）

B1a）The obvious 54．悤e3 which leaves 8 h 5 alive，leads to an ending of 息思 vs 悤．悤c7 55．悤c4（4） 4 56．a5 思xa5 （56．．． $0 x h 5$ ？57．a6 思b8
 57．鼻xf4 悤c3 58．衰b3 悤e5 Again，there is no win，it seems to me．；
B1b）After 54．鼻d6 悤b6 55．思b4（55．色b3？色c5＋ and $0 \times 24=$ ） $55 \ldots$ ．．． 4

57．© c6！Protecting the from
a 0 b7 attack．White has everything under control．）


we have another kind of endgame with an extra piece on the board．58．． m c7 $\Delta$ 悤e2，forcing the f－i to

 the will use e5 as its basis from where it deprives the white ${ }^{\text {d }}$ to reach $\mathrm{f7}$ in order to threaten 悤88xg7\＃．）
$58 . . . f 5 \odot$ Giving up square e5．
59．起b3 Now，since the doesn＇t have the means to check the white 透 on f7 and f8，the＂coffin＂should be cracked by the marching to f7 or f8 and the 思 using the diagonal b2－g7．；
B2） $50 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ g8 tries to avoid the 쓰근 swap at the cost of checks with tempo on the white
 doesn＇t work because of 培xa6

52．思xa6（2）d7 followed by－ b6xa4）51．．．欮e8（51．．．哖a8

54． Axc $^{6} 8$ White should control everything and win with the a－

 56．悤c5 followed by 䀅f8 etc．

This was a most unusual game that started－for me－in move 42 with a clearly superior position．Delving into the secrets of hidden defense ideas and finding ways to outmanoeuver Black was thrilling，and again so at the later time of writing the comments．Dear reader，if you have had the patience to follow this until here，perhaps you have other thoughts about this analysis？You are most welcome to write，and I promise to answer．］

MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）
［Annotations by David Hoeven］1．e4 e5
 ［ $3 . .$. ）f6 is the major alternative nowadays，but this is not to everyone＇s taste！］

7．©b3 0－0 For the Witold Bielecki，I
had decided to play the＇Marshall attack＇．The opening also occurred
against Lafarga and Nickel（8．h3）and Wilczek（15．Qe2 in the old main line）．
$8 . c 3$ d5 9．exd5 $0 x d 5$ 10．9xe5
0xe5 11．\＃xe5 c6 12．g3！？
As played by R．J．Fischer against Spassky，Santa Monica， 1966.
［ 12．ex 1 惫d6 13．d4（13．g3！？）




 26．Mirye4 h4 27．axb5 axb5 28．gxh4
 31．皆xb5 断f3 32．思e1 思d6 33．思g3思xg3 34．Wige8＋1／2－1／2 Wilczek，T （2597）－Hoeven，D（2629）／ICCF 2012 ］ Now black has a couple of alternatives． 12．．．悤f6 The move of Efim Geller leads to sharp play．This line is not as deeply analysed as some main lines of the Marshall．
［ 12．．． $9 \mathrm{f6} 6$ ？ $13 . \mathrm{d} 4$ and now c5 as recommended by the great world champion Spassky after the game with Fischer：＂．．．I declined［DvdH：to play $15 . . ., \mathrm{c} 5$ ］at the last moment because of the reply 14 ． Bg 5 ．Of course，only careful analysis can determine whether $15 . \ldots, \mathrm{c} 5$ is a good or bad move．＂（ 13．．．思d6？！
 Fischer－Spasskij，Santa Monica 1966）14．${ }^{\mathbf{6} g} 5$ and now cxd4 15．cxd4 h6 seems critical．Perhaps white is just a little bit better．］ ［ 12．．．思d6 13．岂e1 which can also be reached after 12．Re1 Bd6 13．g3， may lead to the main lines of the Marshall after 13．．．．，Qd7－h3，but black may also try Bf5 or Re8．］
13．［＂e1 c5 14．d4
（Diagram）


囱b7！This is what Geller had prepared： black sacrifices a second pawn for getting another bishop on a fantastic diagonal．Chess engines have difficulties in seeing full compensation． 15．dxc5 盢8 16．0 d2
［ 16．a4！？seems crazy．White is lagging in development，but moves a pawn．However，refuting it is not so
 （17．．．b4！？）18．axb5 祭e8 19．쑹f1 axb5 20．，ºa7！？White gives back material if needed，and develops with $\mathrm{Bd} 2, \mathrm{Na} 3$ and targets the pawn at b5．］
16．．． $\mathbf{O c}$ 3！a great find by Geller．Black sacrifices a knight to create killer bishops！17．bxc3 悤xc3 18．骂b1 ［ 18．c6？悤xc6 19．党xe8＋祀xe8 20．舀1 骂d8 21．．思e4 23．思xf7＋真xf7 24．蹓b3＋思d5





 39．超h1 삐xg4＋40．超h2 路h4

41．．mxh4 gxh4 42．f4 a5 0－1 Braga，F （2480）－Geller，E（2490）／Amsterdam 1986／EXT 1997 ］


18．．．${ }^{4 \pi} \mathrm{~d} 3!$
［ 18．．．純d7？？Recommended by Nunn and Harding，but loses after




The most active move is required to keep the balance．19．${ }^{\text {ane }}$ x＋
［ 19． m b 2 ！？The alternative in this line．


23．思xf7＋浐xf7 may be difficult to win，due to opposite colored

 23．思xd1 㞩e1＝）22．．．a5 23．a4 悤c6 24．axb5 思xb5 25．c6 思xc6 26．思c4
 position．］
 Black wins back his piece 22．${ }^{\text {dig }} 2$




＊\％${ }^{[6}$ h5＋］
24．．．溦xf1＋25．高xf1 思xe4 26．fxe4故f8
1／2

## 4 <br> Hoeven，David A．van der B90 <br> Papenin，Nikolai 2629 2729 <br> MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）01．03．2012 ［Hoeven，David］

［Annotations by David Hoeven］1．e4 c5
2．$勹 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6$ 3．d4 cxd4 $4 . ⿹ \mathrm{Cd} 4$ ©f6
5．包c3 a6 6．⿷e3 e5 7．©b3 悤e7 8．f3
思e6 9．씀d2 0－0 10．0－0－0 © bd7 11．g4
b5 12．g5 b4 13．gxf6！？An interesting move played by world champion Anand and by Topalov．
［ 13.0 e 2 ］


睘c6 White has the two bishops and targets on d6 and a6，but weak pawns on f 3 and h2．19．${ }^{\text {ab }} \mathrm{b} 1$


（21．．．dxe4！？22．fxe4 克h8
23． $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{~h} 6$ seems to equalise ）
22．f4！h6 23．思xh6（yg
 V（2775）－Grischuk，A（2747），
Monaco 2011 25．思xe5！＋－；


1／2－1／2 Anand，V（2810）－Grischuk，
A（2773），Wijk aan Zee 2011 ］

［ $20 . \mathrm{g}$ g1 transposes to Topalov－ Grischuk ］

23．息d1 吅b8
［23．．． h 3 ］


24．c3 White is a little bit better h3
悤c4 28．b3 思e6 29．c4 White has fixed the target on d6 by b3 and c4．Now he would like to double rooks on the d－file， and，if possible，bring the bishop to the a3－d6 diagonal（Bb4 and Qa3）．Then
 31．思c2 葸h3 Not an ideal square for


create confusion，as I did not see how to make progress．
［ 35．悤e3］
35．．．党b7 36．思e3 White still hasn＇t got much of an advantage．Perhaps black should just wait and see．g6 37．息b1






Vacating the square d2，to let pass the
 white to bring the bishop to the diagonal a3－f8，targeting the weak point d6．Now Black has serious problems．

## 哯bb6

所xf3 51．岂d3 followed by Rxd6 ］

 （ ${ }^{2} x d 5$ 53．exd5］
 Exd6 53．${ }^{(1)} x d 6$ and the pawn on e5 will fall．］
51．鼻b4 h4？！The moves h5－h4 didn＇t help black．
［ 51．．． C h 4 would make life more difficult for white．Perhaps white
should play Rf2 and Rg1，then Qa3 and then bring back the rooks to the d－file．52．${ }^{(1)} f 2$

A） $52 . . . \mathrm{xf} 3$ ？ $53 . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} 3$ 思 g 4 54．h3；
B）52．．．g5 53．${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{g} 1$ intending Bd2 ©g6（53．．． $0 x f 3$ 54．${ }^{\text {ma }} 9$
followed by Bd2 and Qc3 ）54．［ima4
followed by Qa3 and bringing over the rooks to the d－file again．；

 intending Rf2 and Bd2 ）54．\＃ma4 a5 55．．xa5 思a656．純b4］
52．世知a3＋－White has achieved the ideal set－up．The black pieces lack
coordination．©e3


56．Wh1 The black pieces are badly placed．©e3

f6 59．iryd3］


followed by Qc6 or Qxe5 ］
［56．．．＂d7 57．Aimb2 and now the
knight becomes a target． 0 e3
58．mf2 ］




67．
1－0

## 5

## Ljubicic,Leonardo

Lafarga Santorroman,David
MT-Bielecki/Top (POL)
23.01.2012
[Ljubicic,Leonardo]
[Annotations by Leonardo Ljubicic] I was a late entry to this tournament, having received invitation to play to replace OTB GM and 2685 ELO ICCF player Tischbierek, Ray. The strongest CC tournament of modern times, and 3rd strongest of all times? Yes, I was little impressed. Also, going through the players names in tournament table wasn't the most enjoyable experience. Most of them I classify as either "Unstoppable force" or "Immovable object" kind of players. How does one even begins to play facing that kind of opposition?
1.e4 I sent my 1st move on 23.01.2012, although tournament official start date was 01.03.2012. Interestingly enough, just 20 days before that l've finished another game with white against David, played on another super strong invitational tournament (José Antonio Barrios Memorial - A, cat. XV). David finished second with 2 "pluses", while I ended up in the lower half of the table with a single loss and all rest games drawn. We played Ruy Lopez, where I chose unambitious and safe line, so game ended in a draw. That $1 .$. e5 of his in that game I never saw coming. He usualy plays Sicilian, although it is impossible to predict which particular line, as David is not an easy player to prepare against. c5 2.⿹勹f3 ©c6
3. ©c3 If white wants to avoid the main line Sicilian 3. d4, and the most probable Lasker-Pelikan after 3...cxd4 gives black very good game and reduces white's chances of gaining an advantage to minimum. d6 There are quite a few moves black has available here, this one is played obviously expecting the game to transpose to the main line Lasker-Pelikan. Other main moves are 3...e6, 3...Nf6, 3...e5 and 3... g6. 4.d4 cxd4 5. $0 x d 4$ e5 6. 0 f5!?


I find it remarkable that this fine move is only 4th choice in OTB and only 5th in CC chess databases. It has excellent statistics, and provides white with long term advantage, as black in most cases has to take ...Bxf5, thus giving away the bishop pair, while d5 remains strong white outpost. This was my plan when playing 3 . Nc3, as I rightly pressumed my opponent will expect the transposition to LaskerPelikan via 6. Ndb5. I awarded myself a small opening-phase victory here, which at this level of CC play could
mean a half way to winning the game．思xf5 The only choice by correspondence players．OTB players more often choose：

This is just weak，and white has from number of pleasant choices to choose，e．g．8．Nd5，8．Bxf6 or even
8．Be2，all with significant advantage．）8．exf5（8．© xff 织xf6 9． $\mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} 5 \pm$ ）8．．．思e7 9．g3士 ］
7．exf5 ${ }^{[4 \mu \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{d} 7$ Probably the only feasible choice．The alternatives look rather grim：
 ［7．．．⿹f6 8．g4！$\ldots$ and black is in real trouble．］
8． 0 d 5
［White defends f pawn indirectly． 8.94 looks as an attractive try，but my analysis showed nothing pulpable for white after h5］


This is the first key position．White is slightly better，having bishop pair，d5 stronghold，and can easily develop， with the choice of castling on either side．Black has backward d pawn，and
is faced with difficult decision where to find long term king safety，which in turn also makes the finish of development not an easy task for him．8．．． $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~} \mathrm{e} 7$ ？！
Black chooses not to contest the annoying Knight on d5 immediately， but develops dark squared bishop instead，with the idea of Bd8，guarding c7 thus increasing pressure on $f 5$ pawn， as well as hoping for later active position for the bishop on b6 or a5．In hindsight，this might not have been the best choice．Other possibilities are：
［ $8 . . .0$ ge7！？Probably the best black reply．It removes the Knight from his strong outpost d5，and puts additional pressure on $f 5$ pawn．9．f6最xd5 10．fxg7 思xg7 11．䠦xd5 解e6！ （There is no real need for castling any more，as once Queens are off the board，it is even advantageous for black King to be in the middle．

12．甶c4 © d4 13．Wixixe6＋fxe6

Ljubicic 2639 －Schuppel 2483，2012，
World Cup Final XV ］
 ＊${ }^{[6} x f 5$ 을
9．${ }^{* \pi} \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！ N Using the fact that white Knight on d5 is not contested as yet，it defends the f5 pawn，and makes room for Queen side castle，as well as permanently damages black King side， leaving the black King in the centre of the board，this is a significant improvement over

 14．思e3 思g5！and white has difficulties to prove the pawn sacrifice was justified（Riccio 2606 － Vesely 2571，2011，Umansky

Memorial）（14．．． $\begin{gathered}\text { ard } d 7 \text { ？！Voiculescu }\end{gathered}$ 2565 －Nekhaev 2528，2009，Alpert Memorial 15．岂ad1士）］
9．．．g6ロ

10．爫g3 思d8
［ 10．．．乌f6！？11．包xe7 速xe7き
（11．．．衫xe7？12．思g5＋－；11．．．©xe7！？）］
11．fxg6 hxg6 12．思e3 ©f6 13．0－0－0！
Black position is not sustainable in long term，unless the menacing Knight on d5 is removed．So，why not enter another heavy piece into battle using this fact？

14．${ }^{4} \mathrm{M} x d 5 \pm$ ］
 ［ 15．．．．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶力b6！？］
［ 15．．．0－0？！16．h4 $\rightarrow$ ］


16．घbb5！This was the point behind 13. $0-0-0$ ！This rook is both attacking b7 and defending the b2 pawn after eventual black Queen intrusions via a2． e4？This move concedes the middle game battle to white hands leaving black position in a mess，and hopes for drawishnes of opposite coloured
bishop endgames．But there are a lot of issues to deal before that would come true．

was better，with some counterplay．］ 17．${ }^{4} \mathrm{~d} 1$（ f 5


 has cleared somewhat，and black can breathe more easily．Still，there is a lot of firing potential left on board，and black King has not settled yet．White has significant advantage by now．


The next phase is to pinpoint the black Kings safety issue by advancing the King side pawns and destroying whats left of black pawn shield．f5 23．${ }^{\ddagger}$ b1



 35．씀d2 gxh5
（Diagram）
White has combined his light square dominance with unsafe position of

black King to achieve even more

躃 f 7 The main difficulty for black is the fact that is has no counterplay whatsoever，and despite being the pawn up，is doomed to passive
 43．a3＋－White triangulates here a lot， and combines the threats to black King over light squared diagonals with better ability to move Rooks from one side of the board to another．In the process，black is forced to give away almost all of his pawns，one by one，in hope to achieve draw in opposite coloured bishops ending．This move opens up another diagonal and another square for triangulation of white bishop．White has a decisive advantage now．聯f7 44．（思b1

 51．（\％4 d5 What else？For instance：
 and the Rook is tabu because of mating threat on $\mathrm{f7}$ ．］
［51．．．悤f6 52．． 4 ưxf4 ］



 have done enough damage on the $h$ file， now they return to centre to help finish the game off．\＃e5 62．씀d1
 b5 Black will lose all of its pawns，so is at least trying to exchange one of them．
66．씀d1 b4 67．思g4 皆e7 68．cxb4

 From now on this is forced win in $X$ moves，as 6－men won ending for white





兹f7＋88．古b1 克f6 89． 90．씀xf7＋㑭xf7 91．古c2 Mate in 38 according to 6－men tablebases．tabe6 92．芯e2
1－0

6
C10
Langeveld，Ron A．H． 2681 Szczepanski，Zbigniew 2561 MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）01．03．2012 ［Szczepański，Zbigniew］
［Annotations by Zbigniew Szczepanski］ Many thanks to the organizer－great tournament！I finished it with mixed feelings．Now I would play differently， but would that change anything？
Playing at such a level teaches humility， but the experiences I have gathered will certainly be fruitfull for me in the future．1．e4 e6 2．d4 d5 3．©c3 dxe4

French Defence？OK，but why this
 6．0xf6＋©xf6 7．g3 Here Stephan Busemann played 7．c3 and I worried about game result．b6 8．© $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {b }}$ b＋思d7 $9 . a 4$ a6 10． $\mathbf{~ ( ~} \mathrm{e} 2$ This means that variation with $7 . \mathrm{g} 3$ is not the best one．
［10．悤xd7＋並xd7 11．0－0 些d5
思xe5 15．0xe5 箅d8 16．b4 角d7

［10．惫c4 b5 11．思e2 苗c6 12．axb5
 15．d5 思xd5 16．思xb5 c6（16．．．思d6

17．悤e2 思e7 18．c4 悤e4 19．紫d4

10．．．悤c6
［ 10．．．悤c8 11．今e5 肉b7 12．0－0 悤e7


 a5 21．h3 © e7 22．©xe7＋＂xy

 13．a5 0－0 14．axb6 cxb6 15． $0 x d 7$㙏xd7 16．0－0 登ac8 17．息e3 息e7
 21．dxc5 ©d5 22．岂ac1 a4 23．縞d3 뿐두 24．c6＝］
11．0－0

 16． $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ e5 c5 17．悤g5 思xe5 18．dxe5

11．．．思e7！？There was a lot of possibilities，but this move gave me a sense of safety．






18．fxe3 政b8 19．0xb6 悤c6 20．a5悤c5 21． 0 c4 喜e7 22．高f2＝；
B）12．．．思e7 13．c4（13．思e3 0－0
14．思f3 思xf3 15．袹xf3 培d5


a5 21．h3 分e7 22．©xe7＋留xe7
23．迼f3 f6 24．愛ad1＝；13．思f3 㤙xf3


（2d5 19．思d2 悤f6 20．違e4＝）



21． 思xg5 思xg5 22．f4 思xg2 $^{\text {m }}$

25．를d2＝］
［ 11．．．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 7$
A） $12 . \mathrm{c} 4$
A1） $12 . .$. 思 e 7

a5！？（14．．．思b7 15．a5 思b4 16．axb6 cxb6 17．思g5 f6
18．思f4 欮e7 19．d5 exd5
20． $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{d} 4 \pm$ ） $15 . \mathrm{d} 5$（15．思d2
思b7 16．思e3 h6 17．岂ac1思b4 18．c5 悤d5 19．c6（D）b8
 22．欮e2＝；15．思e3 思b7 16．岂ab1 h6 17．登bc1 思b4 18．c5 思d5 19．c6 每b8

 16．堅ac1 h6 17．悤e3 悤b4 18．c5 思d5 19．c6 Gb8

 h6 17．思h7＋臺h8 18．dxe6 fxe6 19．悤e4 思xe4 20．笑xe4





$$
\text { A1c) 13.a5 0-0 14. (d2 } \mathrm{dm} \mathrm{c} 8
$$

$$
\text { 17.d5 exd5 18. } \mathrm{y} \text { d4 }
$$

A1c1）18．．．思xd4 19．${ }^{\text {ur }} \mathrm{xd} 4$乌f6 20．cxd5 思xd5 21．思f1 b5（21．．．悤c6 22．ge7 b5
 25．思xg7＋－）22．ém 癸fd8

明xc6 20．cxd5 欮c5
 bxa5＝；
A2）12．．．思d6 13．a5 0－0 14．宓d2 悤b7 15．axb6 cxb6 16．b4 ©f6 17．Wi c2 $18 . c 5 \pm$ ；
B）12． E e3 思d6 13．c4 0－0 14．a5 bxa5 15．gّxa5 悤b4 16．घh5 h6 17．盟c2 悤b7 18．思xh6 gxh6

 24．씀 c2 $\mathrm{c} 5=$＝
C）12．a5 思e7 13．畕f（13．axb6 cxb6ざ；13．c4 0－0 14．息d2 品e8
 $14 . c 4$ 悤b4（14．．．h6 15．穊d2 思e4

18．思xe5 bxa5 19．蹓e3 思c2
20．${ }^{\text {end }} d 2$ 悤f5 21．（ $\mathrm{A} f 3 \pm$ ）15．axb6 cxb6 16．${ }^{\text {en }}$ 1 a5！？（16．．．思e7
皆c8 20．b3 悤f6 21．斯e4 思g5
 24．h4 期f6 25．欮e3士）17．d5
 19．岂e1 ©f6士）17．．．exd5 18．cxd5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (14.a5 h6 15. }{ }^{\text {Li d d }} \text { 2 思e4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18.h4 bxa5 19.hxg5 思b4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22.d5 exd5 23.gxh6土) }
\end{aligned}
$$

 21．

 17．ge1 f5 18．쓸e2 를e8 19．a5 b5 20．品ad1 思xf4 21． $0 x f 4$ 欮d7 22．思xe4 思xe4 23．f3 思d5 24．b3


［ 12．．．悤e4！？13．a5 踏c8 14．c4

 19．c3士）14．．．0－0 15．He wa

A）15．．．c5 16．axb6 cxd4 17．思f4思c5 18．思g5 罟d8 19．思d3 思xd3 20． $\mathrm{C} x \mathrm{~d} 3$ 思xb6 21．c5 悤c7 22．씀c6 䴗e8 23．思xf6 gxf6 24．b4

 28． 9 b4さ）24．．．e5 25．b5士；
B） $15 \ldots . . \mathrm{bxa} 5$
B1） $16 . f 3$ 思b7 $17 . c 5$




 24．．．思d5 25．欮c3士； B1b）17．．．溦e8 18．蹓xa5 （ d5 19．悤c4 悤c6 20．b3 悤f6 21．綬e1 崽b5士；
B2）16． $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{cc}$ 思 xc 6 17． $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{xc} 6$

 18．c5士 ${ }^{\text {］}}$
$13 . c 4$
［13．党e1 c5 14．dxc5 思xc5
15．
 20．思g2 思xg2 21．高xg2 0－0 22．思d4

13．．．0－0


勾xe5 16．dxe5 0－0 17．\％igg4！？





19． 思 $^{2} 3 \pm$ ］
 16．axb6 cxb6 17．鼻f悤g5 19．思xe4 悤xf4 20．思xh7＋dath7



14．（1f3 Me4



 h6 24．古g2 営ae8 25．f3 寈g8

 20．쓷f 23． m e2 e4 24．緥5＋－］
［14．．．思xf3 15．${ }^{4} \mathrm{H} x f 3$
思d6 18．b3 h6 19．思b2 磁d7
 22.94 hh7 23．h4 悤b4 24．e2
 25．（2d3＋－；
B） $15 \ldots{ }^{2} \mathrm{xd} 4$ 16． O c 6 紫 d 7
17．品d1 悤d6 18．思g5 0 g 4 （18．．．斯e8 19．思xf6 gxf6 20．a5思e7 21．b3 f5 22．苞2 23．axb6 cxb6 24．©e5士）19．a5 f6



 27．घxa6（27．b3 b5 28．${ }^{\text {g }}$ c6
 bxc4 31．bxc4 鸟f5 32．喜g2さ）


起e6 34． $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{c} 7+$ tad7 35．b5



42．）${ }^{\text {² }} \mathrm{d} 5+=$ ；




31．㭡 $6 \pm$ ］

## 15．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e} 2$ ！？











A） $17 . \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{g} 2$
A1） $17 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{g} 5$



A1a1）20．f3（2f6（20．．．f4）
㙏b4 23．b3＝；
A1a2）20．घfd1 घad8


25．f3 ©f6 26．c5 留dd8

29．© c4 聯a3 30．cxb6
 bxc5＝）30．．．cxb6 31．\％imc1

A1b）18．思xg5 药xg5


A2）17．．．c5 18．dxc5 思xc5 （18．．．bxc5 19．曻ad1 惫d6




28．gxf4 甾xc4 29．


34．h4 昆xb2 35．©c5 h6

 bishops of opposite colour） 19．思xc5 0 xc5 20．思xb7 0xb7






 f4 26．克g2£；
B）17．，घad1
B1）17．．．思f6 18．思g2（18．${ }^{\text {geffe1 }}$䠌e8 19．思g2 骂d8 $20 . f 3$ 思xe5
 18．．． H 舀e8

B1a）19．b3
B1a1）19．．．gd8 20．f3 ©g5 （20．．．．⿷⿱㇒⿴囗夊心夊 21. gfe1 思xe3＋
思xg5 22．f4 思xg2 23．${ }^{\text {Mi x } x g 2 ~}$

（24．．．思xe5 25．${ }^{(\mathrm{m} x e 5 \pm}$ ） 25．．घd2＝；
B1a2）19．．．c5！？
 21．哭e1（21．dxc5 卙c7 22．思d4 bxc5 23．思xe4
 21．．．斯 7 22．f3 cxd4 23． 思xd4 $^{\text {a }}$ c5 24．f4＝； B1a22） $20 . f 3$ cxd4 21．思xd4（4）22．${ }^{\text {思xc5 }}$ bxc5 23．쌜e2
新 C 8＝；
B1b）19．f3 思g5 20． $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{8}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{xg} 5$
 exd5 23．cxd5 思xd5 24．${ }^{4}{ }^{4} x c 7$






 39．思h3 思g6 40．悤xf5 思xf5 41．쌛xf5 쓸 $\mathrm{d} 4=$ ；
B2）17．．．斯e8

 22．b3（22．c5 亿ैc8 23．Иe5欮e8 24．c6 悤a8 25．思f4 g5
 B2b）18．思g2 悤f6（18．．．岂d8 19．b3 思a3 20．f3 ©f6

 ©g5 21．思xg5 思xg5 22．f4
 름d6（24．．．思xe5 $25 .{ }^{\text {苟xe5 }}$
 28． 26．
B2c）18．b3 留d8 19．畠g2 悤f6 20．f3 悤g5！？（20．．． 0 g5

 25．洜xe5 部f7 26． 27．d5 exd5 28．${ }^{m} x f 5$ 欮g6 29．cxd5＝）21．．＂fe1 思xe3＋

15．．．f5
［ 15．．．9d6 16．${ }^{\text {ded }} 11 \pm$ ］
［15．．．${ }^{[4 \pi} x \mathrm{xd} 4$ 16． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}} 4 \pm$ ］
16．思f4
［ 16．思e3 悤f6（16．．．a5 17．悤g2 媬e8

|  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 崽6 |
|  |  |
|  | $20 . \mathrm{b} 3$ 思b4 21．gad1 思a8 22． |
|  |  |
| ［ 16．${ }^{\text {mad }}$ d1 a5 |  |
| A）17．畟g2 哏e8 18．思e3 |  |
|  |  |
|  | 縎e7 23． |
| 22．b3 $\ddagger$ ）22．h5 $\ddagger$ ； |  |
| A2）18．．．思f6 19．f3 © d6 20．b3 | 28．\％ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{e} 2 \pm$ ］ |
| 敬8 21．⿷ac1＝； | 18．${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {g }}$ 2 |
|  |  |
|  | A） 20. mfe1 |
|  | A1）20．．．思a8 21．思g2 悤e7 |
| 23． 思 $_{\text {f }}$ 思e7 24．b3さ］ |  |
|  |  |
|  | 思c5 25．思e3 h6 26．${ }^{\text {a }}$ h2ざ |
| ．．．a5 | A2）20．．．h6 21．h5 思xe5 |
|  |  |
| （18．思g2 品ad8 19．axb6 cxb6 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 32．${ }^{\text {m }} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{xd} 3=$ ； |
|  | B） 20. 息g2 $\mathrm{h} 621 . \mathrm{f3}$（1） $622 . \mathrm{b} 3$ |
|  | g5 23．hxg5 hxg5 24．${ }_{\text {思 }}$（ 0 f7 |
|  |  |
|  | 27．fxe5 思e7 28．思e3 讌g6 29．d5 |
|  |  |
| ．h4 |  |
|  |  |
| ＊irye7 20．gac1 c5＝］ |  |
| ［ 17． $\mathrm{B}_{\text {g }} \mathrm{l}$ 思f6 | 21．dxc5 0 xc5 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
|  |  |
| 20．h4 悤e7 21．gd3（21．侤h2 | 20．gad1 c5 21．dxc5 9xc5 |
| h6＝）21．．．h6（21．．．6f6 22．${ }^{\text {max }} 1$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| B）18．h4 喛7（18．．．斯e8 |  |
|  |  | 23．h5 h6 24．克h2（2f6 25．A3悤d6 26．b3 思xe5 27．思xe5 賢f7＝］ 17．．．思f6









28．쓸를
18．（\％） 2

A） $20 . \mathrm{mfe} 1$
A1）20．．．思a8 21．鼻g2 悤e7 22．${ }^{2} \mathrm{e}$ 2 思b7 23．． m b3 思d6


A2）20．．．h6 21．h5 思xe5 22．思xe5 知7 23．b3 c5 24．dxc5 ©xc5 25．घxd8 部xd8 26．［10 1

 （ xd 3 31．思 c 7 M M xc 7 32．쌘xd3＝；
B） $20 . \mathrm{A}$ g2 h6 $21 . \mathrm{f} 3$（1） $622 . \mathrm{b} 3$ g5 23．hxg5 hxg5 24．思c1 0 f7



思xe5 20．愛xd4 思xd4 21．思e3 悤f6
 21．dxc5 ©xc5士 ］
 20．，䧲ad1 c5 21．dxc5 ©xc5



 30．0xc5 思xc5 31．克f1＝］

18．．．䁬e8




A） $25 \ldots . . c 5$ 26．d5 exd5（26．．．思a6
27． 思xe4 fxe4 28．d6＋－）27．cxd5 $^{2}$

B） $25 \ldots . . \mathrm{h6} 26$ ． $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{d} 3 \pm$ ］




 $27 . c 5$－97＝］

## 19．${ }^{10} f d 1$

 bxc5＝］

## 19．．．＂d d

 21．${ }^{(1)}$ d3 鱼d6 22．h5 h6 23．b3 思b4



思a8 25．思f3 思b7 26．h5 h6 27．皆c2思a8 28．
［ 19．．．ㄹ．g c 8



 24．．．c5 25．घed1 發fe8 26．dxc5
思xg2 29．克xg2 政b7＋30．f3 悤e7

 22．b3 g5 23．思c1 쁘d8 24．hxg5

（2e4 27．fxg5 崽d6＝）24．．．hxg5

27．렬2 $=$ ］
［ 19．．．巴a7 20．置h2 h6 21．思f3 g5


欮e8 25．c6 思xe5 26．dxe5＂ing6
 hxg5 30．䓵h1＝］
20．${ }^{\text {und }}$ d
［ 20．
22．c5 Gc8 23．cxb6 cxb6 24．b4＝）

23．思e5 畄e7 24．（ac1（24．h5






 27．b3 悤b7 28．思f3士；
 c5 23．dxc5 思xc5 24．${ }^{(3 x} x d 8$ 断 $x d 8$


 24．hxg5 hxg5 25．f3 9xg3


 22．范ad1 h6 23．b3 畧b4 24．思e3





 22．．．g5 23．，घad1 悤f6＝］

 25．hxg5 hxg5 26．${ }^{\prime \prime}$ d4 思a8 27．思c1



20．．．h6
［ 20．．．思e7 21．b3 崽b4 22．置ad1 悤a8


 ［20．．．思a8 21．b3 思e7 22．h5 思d6

宦b7 28．g4 $\pm$

## 21．h5

［21．f3 ©d6 22．b3 増h7士］

甾xf8 25．思d2 gxh4 26．覴d1 hxg3

 32．（\＄ $\mathrm{g} 2=$ ］
21．．．．．$h 7$

## ［ 21．．．思a8

A） 22.0 g 6 思xd4 23.0 xf 8 欮xf8

 28．gxf5（y）f6 29． 思xd4 cxd4 $^{2}$



 28．b3 e5 29．超h2 悤e8 30．蹨f3

 35．g4 思xa4 36．gxf5 包6＝；
B）22．営aa3 悤xe5（22．．．超h7






B1）24．．．＂

 30．䋊 $c 2=$ ）26．．．＂d $\mathrm{d} 8=$ ；




 34．高g2＝；25．b3（yf6 26．思xa8






 32．g4 影 77 33．克g2 c6
 exd5 29．䍖e7 쓸d6 30．cxd5＝；


䉼c6 29．f4＝］






## 22．．ّdd1



思xe5 To simplify the game．
［ 22．．．⿷⿱㇒⿴囗夊心 ${ }^{2} 8$
A）23．堅a3 c5 24．f3（24．鼻xe4
 26．世üxd1 bxc5 27．घe3 ©f7

 g5＝；

B） $23 . \mathrm{\Phi} \mathrm{~h} 1$ 兜 $\mathrm{g} 8=$ ；






欮x $x$ 8＝；


斯f7 29．b3士）
 29．這g2 c5 30．d5 e5 31． Q g6 e4＝）29．d5 exd5 30．cxd5（1）f6

 32．．．＂ed8（32．．．⿷匚 e5 33．f3＝）










29．背 $c 2$ 欮d7 30．d5 exd5

 exd5 30．cxd5 ©f6 31．Hi mxe8
 34．\＃d3 md 2 35．




## 

［24．f3 ©g5 25．f4 ©e4＝］
［24．g4 古g8 25．日a3（yd6 26．思xb7



Og5＝］






 28．．．घxd3 29．，＂xd3 气c5 30．घxd7



24．．．c5！？



 33．䣽e3 思a6 34．思xe4 fxe4 35．思d4
 38．f3＝］





 39．＂ed $\mathrm{d}=$＝



 31．解d1＝）25．．．
解e7 30．高g2 c5 31．ged1 ©f7 32．f3



25．f3
 27．f4（）e4＝）26．．．cxd4 27．，mxd4




思xb3 35．思xe4 fxe4 $36 . g 4$ 思xa4
37．घxxa5 思d1＝］

25．．．Vg
［25．．．）f6 26．dxc5 bxc5 27．${ }^{\text {mig } x d 8 ~}$


欮d7 36．起f2 起g8 37．gxf5 ©xh5
 40．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{g} 1 \pm$ ］


26．${ }^{\text {mb }} \mathbf{b} 3$ After this move I knew that draw is unevoidable．




超h7 37．fxg5 欮f1＋38．超h2 hxg5


41．．．直g6＝；


思xh5 40．c6 垱e7 41．c7 造d7 42．思c3 0，00）38．．．思xh5 39．㯖xa5





 37.94 e3＝］

26．．．쓰́c6 27．d5
［27．f4 乌e4 28．d5 exd5 29．黑xe4
 32．${ }^{\mathbf{8}} \mathrm{m}$ c3＝］
27．．．exd5 28．f4 ©e4 29．思xe4 fxe4 30．cxd5




30．．．断xa4 31．${ }^{(2 x b 6}$ e3 32．d6 ［32．些d3＋追g8 33．d6 c4＝］
32．．．
思e4 36．嗢h2 留e8（36．．．思d3



 46．bxc3 쓰Nxc3＋47．衰d1＝］





檍h1＋＝］
33．．．쓸e4 34．${ }^{3} x b 7$

34．．．${ }^{10} \times 67$

蹓e6 37．：

mb4 The end－both players agreed to a draw．

50．思b2 罗b3 51．思e5 奖db8＝］

## 7

Voss，Maximilian Hall，Richard A90 2657 2640
MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）
12．05．2012
［Annotations by Richard Hall］1．d4 f5 Though I ended up drawing all my games in the Tournament I decided at the outset to play as aggressively as possible．Hence my choice of the Dutch Defence．Many top CC players believe the Dutch to be too risky．2．g3
乌f6 3．思g2 e6 4．c4 c6 5． 0 d 2 d 5 6． $\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{h}} 3 \mathrm{An}$ interesting positional treatment of the opening．White＇s plan is to exchange the dark－squared bishops on f4 and，if allowed，to manoevre his knights to f3 and d3 followed by $\mathrm{Ne5}$ and a bind on the position．Black must reply positively with a well－timed Ne 4 ．䚪d6 7．㿢c2 $\mathbf{0 - 0}$
 11．ªc1 ©d7 12．©xd6 ©xd6 13．©f4
 16．背c2 a5
［ 16．．． d d $^{2}$ Black could have offered a draw here by repetition of moves with 16．．．．．Nd6 but I was beginning to be confident in my position．］ $17 . \mathrm{a4}$（d6 18．h4！White must hold up
．．．．．．．．．．．．． 95 otherwise black has good chances of a king－side attack．©e4
 ©e4 22．㘳c2 24． $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{f} 1$ A moral victory for black！Black＇s c8 bishop is normally his problem piece in the Dutch Stonewall but here is is exchanged at white＇s behest．宽xf1

 g5 31．0d3＂me6 32．h5


After this move my confidence in black＇s position eroded．I could not find a plan and must wait to see what white does．古g8 33．b4 axb4 34．湈xb4 c5！
（Diagram）
This pawn sacrifice is black＇s saving grace．It must be accepted and after a forced sequence of moves a drawn rook and pawn ending is reached．
35．dxc5 bxc5 36．$勹 \mathrm{Dx} 5$ 包xc5



喜g6 47．h7 름d8 48．f3 f4 49．g4


With 49．．．．Rd2＋Black draws by the skin of his teeth！Perhaps in CC the Dutch is not so good after all． 1／2
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## Wunderlich，Hans－Dieter Wilczek，Tadeusz

E58
2655
2597
MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）
［Wunderlich，Hans－Dieter］
［Annotations by Hans－Dieter
Wunderlich］It was a big honour and challenge for me to be invited to this extremely strong tournament．And I was especially pleased to meet among others my well－known chessfriend Tadeusz．1．d4 ©f6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 3．⿹c3思b4 4．e3 0－0
［ 4．．．b6 Neto－Wilczek，corr．2010，1：0
（31）］
思xc3 9．bxc3 b6 This move is less frequently played than 9．．．．dxc4 and 9．．． Qc7．10．cxd5 The natural reaction to the obvious intention to play Ba6．exd5 11．9e5 思b7
［11．．．0xe5 12．dxe5 气g4 13．f4 f5？！ 14．h3 ©h6 15．岂a2 Oosterom－ Kramer，corr．1991，1：0（41）］
 ［ 13．．．${ }^{\text {urid }} \mathrm{d} 7$ Najdorf－Sliwa，Olympics Leipzig 1960，1／2：1／2（37）］
 move to f2 or even better to h4， possibly provoking the weakening of Blacks kingside with g6 or h6．a6 16．啠e2 Chang of plan！I do not want to allow Black＇s b6－b5．Btw．：This is a typical kind of position，where the engines are not really helpful．g6 Everything turns around the pending move e3－e4．Black would like to triple his heavy pieces in the e－line．But a rook on e6 might be immediately attacked by Bf5．This，and a possible f7－ f5 motivates the move g7－g6．17．${ }^{\text {⿷ }} \mathrm{d}$ 2
 since Black has played c5－c4，the queen on e2 is no longer appropriately placed，and instead should pick up the previous plan to move via f2 to h4．But the immediate 19．Qf2 would allow Black to rearrange his pieces starting with 19．．．Ne8．The played move prevents the knight to leave f6，as this would allow e3－e4．罟ae8 20．g4 This space gaining move is jusified by Black＇s closing move c5－c4．h6 21．$\mu \mathrm{m} f 2$ The engines show more or less clear White advantage，but they do not show a reasonable way how to materialize this！Of course White would like to open files for his bishops，but how？ One possible plan would be h2－h4 followed by g4－g5 to drive off the Nf6． Then Kg2 and Qg3．If Black exchanges the queens，White can double his rooks in the e－line and push the e－pawn．
Otherwise the White queen controls
 OK，the king enters the indirect threat of the Bc6．But as long as e3－e4 is not played，this is not a real problem．解d8 Keeps any options in the e－line，but allows White to follow his plans with
 Looks strange，but：The idea of Kg2 was to support the move Qg3．Thus， the king has done his job on g2 and can now move away out of the distant effect of the Bc6．© ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathbf{b 7}$ Does Black follow a plan？I was not sure ．．．
25．घab1 Self－evident and logical．留e8 Although basic parts of my plan could be realized I felt a bit lost in this position（and for a moment thought about offering draw）．How could I improve my position？Yes，dear reader， 26．h4 is a natural choice．But does it really help？26．h3 The only motivation behind this move was to follow the rule that it was White to move！I had no longer a reasonable plan，but decided to wait for Black＇s answer．
［ $26 . \mathrm{h} 4$ h5 $27 . \mathrm{g} 5$ gi Even with the knight driven away from f6， White cannot push the e－pawn．And doubling the rooks would be answered by Qe7．So what？］
 Very interesting！Black voluntarily leaves with his bishop the critical diagonal and thus allows（after chasing the Nf6）to push the e－pawn！！29．घlf2 I prefer to continue maneuvering！
［29．h4 h5 30．g5 ing 31．e4 iumd7
Even after White has realized one major step in his plan，the situation is not really clear！E．g．：32．悤f4 07

 Immediate $31 . g 5$ would be answered by

31．．．hxg5 32．hxg5 Nh5，attacking my queen．This is the motivation of the text move．Maybe it is not the best move，but for Black it is the most uncomfortable one，as the answer is not obvious．After 31．Qf4 the answer $31 \ldots$ b5 would be easy．觜a3 ［31．．．b5？！32．g5 hxg5 33．hxg5 （2） h 5 34．axb5 axb5 35．e4个］
32．䐴f4 些e7
［ 32．．．思xa4？！An incorrect sacrifice！

 38．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{f} 1 \pm$ The threatening queen pawns don＇t provide sufficient compensation for Black．］
33． m e1 Avoids the possible relief with Rxe3．h5 34．g5 0 g8 35．＂̈b1 Now White has achieved his goal to push the e－pawn．It doesn＇t matter whether this is done immediately or
悤c6 37．（ $\mathbf{~ c} 1$ A logical continuation．As the bishop is no longer needed to support White＇s pawn on e3，the best place is on the diagonal a3－f8．晸d7 Covers f 7 and thus prepares d 5 xe 4 ． ［ 37．．．dxe4？38．fxe4＋－With twofold threat on d5 and f7．］
38．${ }^{\text {max }} \mathrm{xb6}$ dxe4 Obviously White has pretty good chances to win this position． The bishop pair，the weak Black queenside pawns，the passive Black knight etc．are big advantages for White．
But I had to invest much time and energy in finding the best plan．At first glance 39．Rxc6 seemed to be the favourite，but my analysis did not confirm this estimation．39．d5
［ 39．＂xx6 e3！Avoids the opening of the f－file and should hold the draw． 40．思xe3 敬xc6 41．d5 登xe3！42．dxc6




 53．घxf7＋古e8 54．घa7 气e7＝
The＂electronic friends＂still show a significant advantage for White but it is obvious that the game is drawn．］

## 39．．．鼻xd5 40．${ }^{\prime \prime} x$ xe6 聯xe6 41．fxe4 

Tadeusz seems to be sure that after the queen exchange he can hold the game．Maybe 44．Qb6＋would have been more precise．
［ 43．．．$\frac{\pi}{4} \mathrm{~b} b+$＋？］
 this position，and my original comment in the remarks of the game was （translated to English）：＂Probably it doesn＇t make a difference，whether I exchange the bishops first or start centralizing my king．In both cases the plan is the transition into a rook ending and the capture of the Black pawns on c4 and e4．Hopefully the c－pawn will then guarantee the full point．＂This estimation was wrong！When Black defends correctly，he can hold the game．45．むff2？！Objectively seen the wrong plan to win the game！
［ 45． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{b} 1$ ！？Maybe this would have been a plan with（better）winning
踄f5 48．tag2 $\pm$ Its a long way for Black to hold the game．Perhaps he is lost．

A）48．．．e3？！This does not seem to be the right plan．49．${ }^{\text {an }} \mathrm{b} 7+$ 骂 f 7



53．a5＋－A desparate position for Black．The knight is completely helpless．When entering the only
available field e7，he will be exchanged and the pawn ending is lost．；





50．．．起xe7＝The pawn ending is drawn．51．． 53．起d4 迠f5 54．起xc4（54．起d5
 57．逗xg6 h4 58．喜f6 h3 59．g6 h2

 57．c4＝］
47．．．乌e7 48．息xe7 This exchange is more or less forced．Otherwise the Black knight would become very active．
 Exactly after reaching an equal position， Black makes the decisive error，which looses the game．
［ 49．．．起e6！＝In this position I did not find a way to win in my calculation． And I＇m pretty sure that the position
 51．高xe4 a5＝The same position as after 47．Tb2 above．；50．區xc4？！
This is even risky，because Black can further activate his king．\＆ff





 tablebase draw！］

［50．．．起d7 51． $\mathrm{g} f 4+-$ ］

四a5 54．घ̈c5！Unblocks the 5th row for the king．Of course Black cannot

 resigns－not too early．He is a pawn up in a rook ending，but he cannot parry all White＇s threads like Kf6 or Rxc4 followed by advancing the c－pawn．
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B12
2655
MT－Bielecki／Top（POL）01．03．2012 ［Burg，Twan］
［Annotations by Twan Burg］1．e4 c6
2．d4 d5 3．e5 The Advance variation of the Caro－Kann．On the World
Championship Langeveld also scored a few important wins in this line．By advancing the pawn，white gives up a few possibilities，but in return he gets more space．After that，the goal is to keep this space，and to take advantage from the lack of mobility of the black
 6．0－0 思g6 With this move，Black shows that he wants to develop his knight to f5． He can do this in 2 ways，via e 7 and via h6．7．a4 A plan that was also played by Ron in other Caro－Kann games：gain space on the queenside and to prevent Nb6（after a4－a5）．a5 Black prevents the White plan，but this is quite a weakness．The knight on b6 would not be protected anymore by a pawn，and the pawn on a5 could later become a target．
［7．．． 0 e7 This would be another way of playing，but also here the idea is to attack the centre after the Nb6－d5
maneuver is not possible anymore．
8．a5 ©f5 9．c4］
8．2bd2 h6 Black can now develop his bishop easily，but as long as the knight is on h6，he has to consider that white plays Bxh6．Often though，Black has enough compensation for the doubled pawn with his bishop pair en control over the dark squares．9．0b3 The knight on b3 sticks to a5．It also protects the central pawn on d4 and enables the development of the bishop and rook．思e7 10． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{d} 2$ The pressure on a5 is already increasing．0－0 11．c4 Attacking the centre．＊${ }^{4} \mathrm{~b} 6$
［ 11．．．dxc4 12． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x} 4$ Now is the desired maneuvre Nb6－d5 not possible because the a5－pawn would be unguarded．］

## 12．cxd5 cxd5

［ 12．．．exd5 Now Bxh6 could be considered，after which White has much more healthy pawns on the king side．Later on， $\mathrm{f} 4-\mathrm{f} 5$ would then be prepared．］
13．${ }^{( }$b5 Black now feels the weakness of $7 . . \mathrm{a} 5$ ：white has a firm grip over the weakened b 5 square．©b8 14．留c1 White makes use of the fact that Black is unable to play Rc8．At this moment， the knight on h6 is attacked twice．©c6 ［ 14．．． $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{f} 5}$ When the knight tries to escape，White can force the exchange of queens，after which the endgame is much better for White due to active pieces and a superior queenside．15．g4 hh4 16．0xh4

15．思xh6 gxh6 16．筧xh6 White has collected a pawn，but Black now will try to get something in return． $\mathbf{0}$ a7
（Diagram）


［ 17．．．思xc5 Giving up the dark－ squared bishop is very risky for black， because of the weakened dark squares around the black king． 18．dxc5 ${ }^{[4 \pi} x \mathrm{xc} 5$ 19．h4 With a very strong attack．］
18．9d7！聯d8 19．⿹f6＋White gives up his extra pawn，but in return gets the dark－squared bishop．© $\mathbf{S x f 6}^{20 . e x f 6}$些xf6 21．axb5 The white advantage consists of：－A superior king＇s position． Black will continuously face problems due to the missing g－pawn．－A good knight versus a bad bishop．The bishop is on the same colour as its pawns．
Because of this，the dark squares are quite weakened as well．\＃fc8 22．⿹勹巳 A nice square for the knight．Black only could chase it away by weakening his
 Because the weakened king＇s position of Black，White of course keeps the queens on the board．f6 24． $0 x$ x6 Langeveld heads for an endgame with heavy pieces．The most important trumph is still the weakened king＇s position．
［ 24． O 4 Alternatively，White could try to keep the knight on the board． However h6 is the only weakened square，and generally a knight has less prospects on the edge．］
24．．．hxg6 25．㟔fe1 古f7 26．苞ac1
Black can＇t exchange rooks，because White owuld enter through c7．栄f8


27．b6！Now the b－pawn could recapture the rook after Rc7＋，after which it would become a dangerous passed pawn．In other positions such a pawn could become weak，but due to the weakened king＇s position，Black has no time to win the pawn．a4 28．断h7＋
 Makes a hole for White king，and in some cases could further weaken the black king by h4－h5．After the previous moves，White again controls the e5 square．f4 Black cannot do much． When he would leave the c－file，the white rook would enter on c7．If he goes to c6 or c4 with his rook，the structure would get weakened even more．
 34．$\frac{\pi}{6} f 3$ Due to dxc 4 the diagonal has

36．लe5 घd8 37．씀h7士 ］

And the passed b－pawn decides soon．］
32．繮3 f3 33．gxf3 g5


34．h5！White prevents the opening of the g－file．Also，White now has a passed pawn．欮f5 35．
36．$\frac{\mu g}{} \mathrm{~g} 4$ The exchange of queens only under advantageous circumstances！明xg4＋
［ 36．．．${ }^{\text {dinff }} \mathrm{f}$ When black would keep the queens on the board，he would not survive long either．He now has too many weaknesses．37．高g2 起e7
 40．f4！＋－］
 Forces the black rook to protect the c－


## （Diagram）

41．f4！Right．By playing this move， White obtains connected passed pawns， which tend to be very strong in rook endgames．The pawn on $f 4$ will be

recollected as well．gxf4 42．${ }^{\text {dab }} \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{G}$ g 5 ［42．．．c3 43．bxc3 起g5 44．c4 a3

 exd5 49．h6 The pawn endgame wins for White because he reached the b－pawns first．）48．堅a7 삠d7 49．起xf4＋－］
43．${ }^{\text {gen }} 1$ The king should be repelled from g5，so $f 4$ can be taken by the king．

Black gets rid of the strong b－pawn，but on the other side White fortunately has connected passed pawns．46．${ }^{\text {xx }}$ xa登xb2 47．\＃xx 49．ta 5 Black realizes that the rook endgame is lost and resigns．It is understandable that he doesn＇t let White to prove this is a win．Of course， the World Champion would manage to do so with help of the engine and tablebases．Probably also without them． The method of winning is quite instructive，so I show it to you

㡙xd4 53．达e5＋）52．思b5＋ Now the d－pawn can start running．

The Black king is on the long side and is far away，so the win is quite easy：造g6 53．d5 踄e4＋54．荈f7 55．d6 White is not in a hurry with taking the b－pawn，because Black cannot protect it anyway．씅 4
 daff This endgame can be won in 2 ways：Either by building a bridge or by putting his rook on c8．
 The idea of this check is to give the white king a few squares on

 （ $63 . . .{ }^{\text {und }}$ d1 When Black would wait， White could shorten the bridge by


61．啚c7 름c2＋62．高b6 凯b2＋
63．${ }^{\text {a }}$ a5 And in the end White escapes the checks．］

